

**“Standing in the Holy Place”:  
Ancient and Modern Reverberations of an Enigmatic New Testament Prophecy**  
Expound Symposium, Provo, Utah  
14 May 2011

The only direct mention in the New Testament of the idea of “stand[ing] in the holy place” is found in the book of Matthew. Here, as part of Jesus’ prophecy to His disciples about the woes that would befall Jerusalem, He describes how the Holy City would be profaned by an “abomination of desolation” that would “stand in the holy place.”<sup>1</sup> However, in related verses in the Joseph Smith Translation of the Bible and in the Doctrine and Covenants, the sense of this phrase is turned upside down. Rather than describing how an *evil thing* would stand the holy place, thereby *profaning* it, modern scripture applies the phrase to the apostles and the saints, admonishing *them* to stand in holy places and thereby be *saved*.

Though several LDS scholars have offered *interpretations* and *personal applications* of the sense of these words as given in modern scripture, no one has yet, to my knowledge, seriously explored how this change in meaning could be *explained* and *defended*.<sup>2</sup> It is easy to see how, on the face of it, some might conclude that Joseph Smith’s translation was an obvious and embarrassing mistake, based on his ignorance of the Greek text of the New Testament. Today, however, my purpose is to advance an alternative claim: namely, that in the scriptural word picture of the righteous standing in holy places, Joseph Smith has recovered the essence of a potent metaphor from the heart of ancient Judaism and early Christianity. Speaking more generally, I find this to be a powerful example of how, as expressed in the words of Yale professor Harold Bloom, elements of Mormon scripture “recapture... crucial elements in the archaic Jewish religion... that had ceased to be available either to normative Judaism or to Christianity, and that survived only in esoteric traditions unlikely to have touched [Joseph] Smith directly.”<sup>3</sup>

Throughout this presentation, I will consciously, though not exclusively, use forms of argument that are encountered much more rarely today than they were in biblical times: specifically, midrash, allegory, and typology. About the unfortunate near abandonment of these ancient modes of biblical interpretation, Old Testament scholar James Kugel observes:<sup>4</sup>

What [modern exegetes] generally share (although there are, of course, exceptions) is a profound discomfort with the actual interpretations that the ancients came up with—these have little or no place in the way Scripture is to be expounded today. Midrash, allegory, typology—what [on earth] for? But the style of interpretation thus being rejected is precisely the one that characterizes the numerous interpretations of Old Testament texts by Jesus, Paul, and others in the New Testament, as well as by the succeeding generations of the founders of Christianity....

Ancient interpretive methods may sometimes appear artificial, but this hardly means that abandoning them guarantees unbiased interpretation... At times, [modern] interpretations are scarcely less forced than those of ancient midrashists (and usually far less clever).

I believe that careful examination of related contexts in the Bible, in connection with the light shed by Jewish midrash and contemporary scholarship, will show that the idea behind Joseph Smith's application of the concept of standing in the holy place in the JST and the Doctrine and Covenants, far from being a modern invention, reverberates throughout ancient religious thought. Indeed, as Jewish scholar Avivah Zornberg has argued, the Hebrew Bible teaches that standing in the holy place, "hold[ing one's] ground," as it were, in sacred circumstances is the meaning of being itself. In Hebrew: "*kiyyum*: to rise up (*la-koom*), to be tall (*koma zokufa*) in the presence of God."<sup>5</sup> In this talk, I will explore how one's fitness to stand in holy places was understood in ancient sources, showing the paramount importance of this idea in the Old and New Testament—and its particular relevance for our own time.



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The Prophecy of the Destruction of the Temple*, 1886-1894.<sup>6</sup>

### **“Standing in the Holy Place” in the Olivet Discourse**

Immediately after His prophecy about the destruction of the temple, and just prior to the culminating events of the Passion week, Jesus “went upon the Mount of Olives.”<sup>7</sup> Here, in a setting associated with some of His most sacred teachings,<sup>8</sup> His apostles “came unto him privately” to question him about the “destruction of the temple, and the Jews,” and the “sign of [His] coming, and of the end of the world, or the destruction of the wicked.” Within this discourse, Jesus gave one of the most controversial prophecies of the New Testament:

## COMPARISON OF MATTHEW, MARK, AND LUKE

- *Matthew 24:15-16*: When ye therefore shall **see the abomination of desolation... stand in the holy place...** Then let them which be in Judea flee into the mountains:
- *Mark 13:14*: But when ye shall **see the abomination of desolation... standing where it ought not,** ... then let them that be in Judea flee to the mountains:
- *Luke 21:20-21*: And when ye shall **see Jerusalem compassed with armies...** Then let them which are in Judea flee to the mountains;

Friday, February 25, 2011

When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation... stand in the holy place...

Then let them which be in Judea flee into the mountains:<sup>9</sup>

The gospel of Mark varies the wording of Matthew somewhat, though agreeing in its general meaning. Instead of saying that the “abomination of desolation” will “stand in the holy place,”<sup>10</sup> Mark asserts that it will be “standing where it ought not.”<sup>11</sup> Luke, writing to a Gentile audience that was not as familiar with the temple and its customs as were the Jews addressed by Matthew, describes the sign in a more general way, referring to how Jerusalem would be “compassed by armies.”<sup>12</sup> Though the interpretation of these verses has been controversial, the sense of the Greek text underlying them is clear.

## COMPARISON OF MATTHEW AND JST MATTHEW

- *Matthew 24:15-16*: When ye therefore shall **see the abomination of desolation... stand in the holy place...** Then let them which be in Judea flee into the mountains:
- *Joseph Smith—Matthew 1:12-13*: When you, therefore, shall **see the abomination of desolation... then you shall stand in the holy place;** Then let them who are in Judea flee into the mountains;

Friday, February 25, 2011

Comparing the verse in Matthew to its equivalent in the Joseph Smith Translation, we see that the Prophet has rendered this passage in a way that radically changes its meaning. Rather than describing how the “*abomination of desolation*” will “stand in the holy place,”<sup>13</sup> the JST version enjoins the *apostles* to “stand in the holy place”<sup>14</sup> when the “abomination of desolation” appears.

I examine the topic of the “abomination of desolation” in the appendix. In the body of this article, I will mainly focus on a few scattered examples that show how Joseph Smith’s application of this prophecy to the idea of the *righteous* standing in holy places resonates elsewhere in scripture, starting with the story of Adam and Eve.



Thomas Cole, 1801-1848: *Expulsion from the Garden of Eden*, 1828.

### ***Adam and Eve's Standing in Eden***

In his characteristic epic style, Thomas Cole depicted Adam and Eve being driven from the lush garden to live in the relative wilderness of the mortal world. Their expulsion is described twice in Genesis, with different terms used in each case.<sup>15</sup> The Hebrew word *shillah* (“send him forth”) in 3:23 is followed by the harsher term *geresh* (“drove out”), used in 3:24. Significantly, the same two terms are used in the same order in the book of Exodus to describe how Pharaoh would drive Israel away from their familiar comforts in Egypt.<sup>16</sup> This deliberate parallel suggests that we are not meant to read Adam and Eve’s exit from Eden as depicting a unique event but rather as demonstrating a repeated type of mankind’s difficulty, in its fallen state, to “stand in holy places” and not be “moved.”<sup>17</sup> The importance of this recurring theme to the entire story of Adam and Eve will become more clear as we now begin to examine it in more detail.



Lorenzo Ghiberti (1378-1455): *Creation of Adam*, from *Gates of Paradise*, 1425-1452.<sup>18</sup>

Of great significance to our theme today is a statement by the revered Jewish exegete Rashi that connects the themes of creation and atonement to the idea of standing in God's presence:

God took [Adam's] dust from the place of [the temple altar, signifying His] wish that [Adam might] gain atonement, and that *he may be able to stand*.<sup>19</sup>

In contrast to cattle, which Rashi said “do not stand to be judged”<sup>20</sup> (in other words, are not held accountable for their actions<sup>21</sup>), Jewish accounts of Adam's creation specifically highlight his first experience after being filled with the breath of life:<sup>22</sup> namely, the moment when God “stood him on his legs.”<sup>23</sup> According to Zornberg,<sup>24</sup> it is in the ability to stand in the presence of God that one specifically demonstrates the attainment of full “majesty and strength.”



*The Harrowing of Hell from the Exultet Roll: Codex Barberini Latinus 592. (f. 4) , ca. 1087.*

Medieval artistic convention makes it clear that Christ was imagined as raising the dead to eternal life by the same gesture that was used to create Adam and stand him on his feet.<sup>25</sup> Likewise, we note the Old Testament literary formula that nearly always follows descriptions of miraculous revivals of the dead with the observation that they “stood up upon their feet.”<sup>26</sup>

More generally, in Christian iconography this gesture is used in scenes representing a transition from one state or place to another. For example, a depiction at the Church of San Marco in Venice shows God taking Adam by the wrist to bring him through the door of Paradise and to introduce him into the Garden of Eden.<sup>27</sup> Another Christian scene shows God taking Adam by the wrist as he and Eve receive the commandment not to partake of the Tree of Knowledge.<sup>28</sup> Likewise, ancient texts describe how prophets such as Enoch,<sup>29</sup> Abraham,<sup>30</sup> Daniel,<sup>31</sup> and John<sup>32</sup> are grasped by the hand of an angel in key moments of their heavenly visions.<sup>33</sup>

It is by being raised by the hand to the upright position that we are made ready to hear the word of the Lord. It is no mere coincidence that before heavenly messengers can perform their errands to Ezekiel,<sup>34</sup> Daniel,<sup>35</sup> Paul,<sup>36</sup> and Alma the Younger,<sup>37</sup> they must first command these seers to stand on their feet.<sup>38</sup> As biblical scholar Robert Hayward has said: “You stand in the temple,<sup>39</sup> you stand before the Lord,<sup>40</sup> you pray standing up<sup>41</sup>— you can’t approach God on all fours like an animal. If you can stand, you can serve God in His temple.”<sup>42</sup> If you are stained with sin, you cannot stand in His presence.<sup>43</sup>





Stephen T. Whitlock, 1951-: *Tree Near British Camp*, Malvern Hills, Worcestershire, England, 2009.

Jewish writings tell of how Adam lost the divine ability to stand through his taking of the fruit of the Tree of Knowledge. For example, in an account that plays on the nuances of Hebrew terms for standing, we read:

Before the sin, Adam could “hear God speaking and *stand on his legs*... he could *withstand* it.”<sup>44</sup> ... In another midrash, God says, “Woe Adam! Could you not *stand in your commandment* for even one hour?”



William Blake, 1757-1827: *Nebuchadnezzar*, 1795.

After the Fall, Adam and Eve sorrowed over the loss of the fruit trees of Eden as the source of mankind's food (whether meant literally or figuratively)—leaving them nothing besides “the herb of the field” to eat. Rabbinical and early Christian writings saw Adam and Eve's loss of their paradisiacal food as part of a humiliating penance, to a degree in the likeness of Nebuchadnezzar's abasement to a beastlike state.<sup>45</sup>

Regarding Nebuchadnezzar, we read in Daniel 4:31-33:

O king Nebuchadnezzar, ... The kingdom is departed from thee. And they shall drive thee from men, and thy dwelling shall be with the beasts of the field: ...until thou know that the most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever he will. The same hour was the thing fulfilled upon Nebuchadnezzar: and he was driven from men, and did eat grass as oxen, and his body was wet with the dew of heaven, till his hairs were grown like eagles' feathers, and his nails like birds' claws.

Nebuchadnezzar's madness and self-exclusion from society ended only when he satisfactorily completed the process of penance.<sup>46</sup>

In presenting Adam and Eve as being temporarily reduced to eating the herb of the field like the animals,<sup>47</sup> the Jewish scholar Rashi played on the double meaning of the Hebrew term *veirdu* in Genesis 1:28. He commented that instead of man's “having dominion” over the beasts as God originally intended, he now would “fall down” below and be with

them.<sup>48</sup> The *Targum Pseudo-Jonathan* says that after hearing the consequences of his transgression, Adam pled that he might be spared:

I beseech by the mercy before you, O Lord, let me not be reckoned before you as cattle, that I should eat the grass of the surface of the field. I will arise [literally, “*I will stand up*”] and labor... and I will eat the food of the earth; and thus let there be a distinction before you between the children of men and the offspring of cattle.<sup>49</sup>

Tradition records that God eventually answered Adam’s prayer by showing him how to grow wheat for bread, making it clear that this curse was not meant as an arbitrary “punishment” but rather as a temporary ascetic “discipline for spiritual renewal.”<sup>50</sup>

Although to be banished from the Garden of Eden “is to lose a particular standing ground,”<sup>51</sup> it was always God’s intention to restore Adam and Eve and their posterity to their former glory,<sup>52</sup> enabling their “confidence” to again “wax strong”<sup>53</sup> in His presence. Succinctly expressing the hopelessness of Adam’s predicament in the absence of God’s “remedy,”<sup>54</sup> midrash states: “If it were not for Your mercy, Adam would have had *no standing (amidah)*.”<sup>55</sup>


In contrast to the temporary nature of Adam and Eve’s estrangement from God, the book of *Jubilees* reports that the serpent “was not and never will be afforded any chance at repentance”<sup>56</sup> because of its role in the Fall. As a symbol of this consequence, we are told that the serpent permanently lost its legs<sup>57</sup> and, with that loss, the ability to stand.<sup>58</sup> “The loss of limbs and organs guarantees that the rebel will never rise anew in his full powers, which he will never possess again,”<sup>59</sup> being consigned to crawl on its belly and eat of the dust forever.<sup>60</sup>



Rembrandt Harmenszoon van Rijn, 1606-1669: *Moses and the Tablets of the Law*.

### ***Israel's Failure to Stand at Sinai***

I have already mentioned the deliberate parallel between the Adam and Eve's expulsion from Eden and Israel's exodus from Egypt to their wilderness probation. As the path of exaltation was revealed through five covenants given to Adam and Eve after the Fall,<sup>61</sup> so Israel's salvation was also understood in rabbinical teaching to have been made contingent on its acceptance of the five parts of God's Law.<sup>62</sup>



*The Children of Israel at Mount Sinai.*

Israel, however, proved themselves unready to accept the fulness of God's law at Sinai.<sup>63</sup> They preferred that Moses go alone to ascend the holy mountain, while they stayed at its foot.<sup>64</sup> Painting a vivid word picture of how the Israelites were unable to stand in the divine presence, Rashi explains that when they heard the sound of the voice of God emanate from Sinai "they moved backwards and stood at a distance: they were repelled to the rear a distance of twelve miles—that is the whole length of the camp. Then the angels came and helped them forward again." Zornberg reasons: "If this happened at each of the Ten Commandments, the people are imagined as traveling 240 miles in order to stand in place!"<sup>65</sup> Though this imagery is, of course, figurative, it is highly instructive.



Ted Larson: *The Lord on Mount Sinai*, 2006.<sup>66</sup>

We see this same movement away from God and toward the regions of death at the incident of the Golden Calf.<sup>67</sup> Before their sin, the Israelites looked without fear upon the divine flames of God's presence at the top of the mountain, but as soon as they had sinned, they could not bear to see even the face of Moses, God's intermediary.<sup>68</sup> By way of contrast to the Israelites, Moses, like Jesus at the Transfiguration,<sup>69</sup> was covered by a glorious cloud<sup>70</sup> as he communed face-to-face with the Lord, having been made like God Himself.<sup>71</sup> Moses then stood to Israel as God stood to him and, having received the power of an eternal life, he became known in the Samaritan literature as "the Standing One."<sup>72</sup>




Nicolas Poussin, 1594-1665: *The Adoration of the Golden Calf*, 1634-1635.

Comparing the sin of the Israelites to the transgression of Adam, midrash has God reproaching them:

Like Adam, the people were destined to live forever, but “when they [made the golden calf and] said, ‘These are your gods, O Israel!,’ death came upon them. God said, ‘You have followed the system of Adam, who *did not stand* the pressure of his testing for three hours....’ ‘I said, “You are gods....” But you went in the ways of Adam,’ so ‘indeed like Adam you shall die. And like one of the princes you shall fall’<sup>73</sup>—you have brought yourself low.”<sup>74</sup>

The midrash uses the imagery of the Fall, with a perfect consistency. The sin [of taking the forbidden fruit], as such, is not mentioned. Instead, what Adam, and again the Israelites, represents is a kind of spinelessness, a vapidness. The word that is used in *Sanhedrin* 38b to describe the sin is *sarah*, which implies exactly this aesthetic offensiveness: it holds nuances of evaporation, loss of substance, and the offensive odor of mortification. “O my offense is rank, it smells to heaven.”<sup>75</sup> It signifies a failure to stand in the presence of God, to maintain the posture of eternal life. “You have brought yourselves low”: man, the midrash boldly implies, does not really want full and eternal being. He chooses death, lessened being. What looks like defiance is an abandonment of a difficult posture.



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *Jesus Goes Up Alone unto a Mountain to Pray* (detail), 1886-1894.<sup>76</sup>

***“The Measure of the Stature of the Fulness of Christ”***

Only those who are of a perfect spiritual stature are qualified to stand in the presence of God. In describing the essential qualities the youthful Jesus acquired as he grew to manhood, Luke states that He “increased in wisdom and *stature*.”<sup>77</sup> In their strivings to become like their Lord, Paul instructed his readers to attain such “a knowledge of the Son of God” that would enable them also to become “perfect... unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ.”<sup>78</sup> This supreme objective, of course, could not be accomplished without divine help, for “[w]hich of you by taking thought,” Jesus rhetorically asked in the Sermon the Mount, “can add one cubit unto his stature?”<sup>79</sup>





J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *Reconstruction of Jerusalem and the Temple of Herod*, 1886-1894.<sup>80</sup>

The idea of the disciples adding cubit to cubit until they measured up to the perfection of Christ in stature would have been recognized by early Christians as an analogy to the process of temple building.<sup>81</sup> The temple, like the disciple, was required to conform to the exact measures revealed by God.<sup>82</sup> Recall, for example, how the dimensions of each aspect of the Israelite Tabernacle were described in minute detail to Moses;<sup>83</sup> and how Ezekiel<sup>84</sup> witnessed the careful measurement of his visionary temple. A similar motif of measurement of the temple precincts occurs in the book of Revelation,<sup>85</sup> in a passage to which we will later return.



*Investiture scene from the Ur-Nammu Stela, ca. 2100 BC.*<sup>86</sup>

Ronan James Head and I have recently completed a study of the Investiture Panel at Mari,<sup>87</sup> where one is also struck by the significant role played by measurement in the planning and construction of temples and palaces. As emblems that symbolically conjoin the acts of measurement in laying the foundations of sacred buildings and the processes of cosmic creation, one sees the Mesopotamian rod and ring, shown here in the right hand of Ur-Nammu. These two instruments of the rod and ring functioned essentially as a “yardstick” and a “tape measure,”<sup>88</sup> and can be profitably compared to the “measuring reed” and “line of flax” of Ezekiel,<sup>89</sup> as well as to the analogous cosmic surveying instruments of the square and the compass.<sup>90</sup> Consistent with the general biblical symbolism, the Mesopotamian measuring devices also served as visual metaphors for the personal righteousness of those who were made kings.<sup>91</sup> These kings, like the early Christians addressed by Jesus and Paul, were expected to “measure up” to their high and holy callings.

We return to Jesus’ question: “[w]hich of you by taking thought can add one cubit unto his stature?”<sup>92</sup> “No one,” writes BYU scholar Jack Welch, “would be presumptuous enough to add a single cubit to any part of the temple.”<sup>93</sup> Neither, I would add, would individuals aspiring to conform to “the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ”<sup>94</sup> presume to improve upon the dimensions of His perfection.



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The Kiss of Judas*, 1886-1894.<sup>95</sup>

### ***The Fall of the Temple Guards at Jesus' Arrest***

Nowhere is the contrast between the perfect stature of the Christ and that of His slouching enemies so clearly shown than in John's account of Jesus' arrest. While Matthew, Mark, and Luke's accounts highlight the perfidy of Judas as the one who identified his Master to the temple guards, the gospel of John emphasizes Christ's mastery of the situation by omitting the kiss of Judas from its narrative, suggesting that "Judas' task of identifying Jesus had been taken out of his hands."<sup>96</sup> Instead, at that moment, Jesus is shown in full control of the arresting party by His startling *self-identification*:



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The Guards Falling Backwards*, 1886-1894.<sup>97</sup>

4 Jesus therefore, knowing all things that should come upon him, went forth, and said unto them, Whom seek ye?

5 They answered him, Jesus of Nazareth. Jesus saith unto them, I am *he*...

6 As soon then as he had said unto them, I am *he*, they went backward, and fell to the ground.

The King James translation of the Greek phrase *ego eimi* as “I am *he*” obscures an essential detail. In reality, Jesus has not said, “I am *he*,” but rather “I AM,” using a divine name that directly identifies Him as being Jehovah.<sup>98</sup> Thus, asserts Raymond E. Brown, it is clear that the fall of the temple guards is no mere slapstick scene that might be “explained away or trivialized. To know or use the divine name, as Jesus does [in replying with ‘I AM’], is an exercise of awesome power.”<sup>99</sup>

This event is nothing more nor less than a replay of the scene of the children of Israel at Sinai discussed earlier.<sup>100</sup> In effect, in the gospel of John, we are reading an eyewitness report of a solemn revelation to the band of arresting Jewish temple guards<sup>101</sup> that they were standing, as it were, in a “Holy of Holies” made sacred by the presence of the embodied Jehovah, and that they, with full comprehension of the irony of their pernicious intent, were about to do harm to the very Master of the Lord’s House, whose precincts they had been sworn to protect. As with the Israelites at Sinai who were unworthy and thus unable to stand in the holy place, “those of the dark world fell back, repelled by the presence of the Light of the world.”<sup>102</sup>

To delve further into the symbolism of the scene, note that the Jews were generally prohibited from pronouncing the divine name, Jehovah.<sup>103</sup> As an exception, that Name was solemnly pronounced by the High Priest standing in the most holy place of the temple once a year, on the Day of Atonement. Upon the hearing of that Name, according to the *Mishnah*, all the people were to fall on their faces.<sup>104</sup> Was it any coincidence, then, that Jesus Christ, the supernal High Priest after the order of Melchizedek,<sup>105</sup> boldly proclaimed His identity as the great “I AM” at the very place and on the very night He atoned for the sins of the world? Ironically, the temple guards who failed to fall on their faces at the sound of the divine Name were instead thrown on their backs in awestruck impotence.



Pieter Bruegel the Elder, ca. 1525-1569: *The Triumph of Death*, 1562.

### ***Standing in Holy Places in the Last Days***

This is the landscape of hell. Sadly, it is also the landscape of much of the world we live in today, foreseen nearly a century ago by the poet William Butler Yeats:<sup>106</sup>

Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold;  
Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world,  
The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere  
The ceremony of innocence is drowned;  
The best lack all conviction, while the worst  
Are full of passionate intensity...  
And what rough beast, its hour come round at last,  
Slouches towards Bethlehem to be born?

Though there are many references in modern scripture to the general idea of standing in righteousness, each of the three instances of specific instructions for the faithful to stand in holy places appear in apocalyptic descriptions of the latter-day gathering and the destruction that will precede the Savior's Second Coming.<sup>107</sup>

First, in Doctrine and Covenants 45, an overt expansion on the instructions and prophecies given to the apostles on the Mount of Olives, we are told:<sup>108</sup>

And there shall be men standing in that generation [i.e., in the last days], that shall not pass until they shall see an overflowing scourge; for a desolating sickness shall cover the land.

But my disciples shall stand in holy places and shall not be moved; but among the wicked, men shall lift up their voices and curse God and die....

And it shall be said among the wicked: Let us not go up to battle against Zion, for the inhabitants of Zion are terrible; wherefore we cannot stand....

For when the Lord shall appear he shall be terrible unto them, that fear may seize upon them, and they shall stand afar off and tremble.

Note that modern scripture is perfectly consistent with the subtle imagery of the biblical examples cited earlier. A contrast is drawn between the disciples, who "stand in holy places" and are "not moved," and the wicked, who "stand afar off and tremble."<sup>109</sup> Another Doctrine and Covenants reference tells us that the earth itself will also tremble, and "men shall fall upon the ground and shall not be able to stand."<sup>110</sup>

The second Doctrine and Covenants reference to standing in holy places is found in section 87, as part of the revelation and prophecy on the wars and disasters that will eventually "make a full end of all nations."<sup>111</sup> Here, the Saints are told:<sup>112</sup>

Wherefore, stand ye in holy places, and be not moved, until the day of the Lord come; for behold, it cometh quickly, saith the Lord. Amen.

The final specific mention of this phrase is in section 101, in a revelation responding to the Saints being driven from their homes in Jackson County, Missouri. The following verses assure the Saints that, despite their forcible ejection from the place where they had begun to build the city of New Jerusalem, it will not be moved, but rather will continue as the central gathering place from which Zion will eventually extend herself to fill the earth:<sup>113</sup>

Zion shall not be moved out of her place, notwithstanding her children are scattered...

And behold, there is none other place appointed... for the work of the gathering of my saints—

Until... there is found no more room for them; and then I have other places which I will appoint unto them, and they shall be called stakes, for the curtains or the strength of Zion.

Behold it is my will, that all they who call on my name... should gather together, and stand in holy places;

And prepare for the revelation... when... all flesh shall see me together.



Linda McCarthy, 1947-: *City of Enoch*, 2002.

The Saints in Joseph Smith's time would have understood the term "holy places" in section 101 as the current and future stakes to which they were being gathered both spiritually and physically. Each one of these stakes was originally intended to feature its own temple as a focal point for the community. Borrowing vivid word pictures from the book of Isaiah,<sup>114</sup> the Doctrine and Covenants describes the kingdom of God as a tent whose expanse increases continually outward from its "center place"<sup>115</sup> through the establishment of "stakes, for the curtains or strength of Zion."<sup>116</sup>

At the time section 101 was received, the "center place" of the tent would have been understood as Jackson County, Missouri, the intended location of the New Jerusalem, and the ever expanding curtains of the tent would have represented the growing number of outlying stakes<sup>117</sup> that were eventually destined to span the whole earth—and, ultimately, to unite in perfect reflection with their counterparts in heaven, as depicted visually in this

stunning landscape by Linda McCarthy. The revelations make it clear that it is “in Zion, and in her stakes, and in Jerusalem” that are to be found “those places which [God has] appointed for refuge.”<sup>118</sup> God’s whole purpose is to draw the people of the world to such places of safety, the express purpose of the Church being “for the gathering of his saints to *stand* upon Mount Zion.”<sup>119</sup>




J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *Reconstruction of Jerusalem and the Temple of Herod Seen from the East*, 1886-1894.<sup>120</sup>

Having considered what it means to “stand in holy places” in the last days with respect to the *New Jerusalem*, we return to Jesus’ prophecies about *old Jerusalem*. In addition to the first “abomination of desolation” that was to occur within the lifetime of the apostles, the Joseph Smith Translation of Matthew 24 predicts a *second* “abomination of desolation”:<sup>121</sup>

*And again, in the last days, the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, will be fulfilled.*

To understand the events associated with this verse, we must revisit to the theme of measurement—in this case the measurement of the temple described in chapter 11 of the book of Revelation.





Chapter 11 opens with the angel’s instruction to John to “measure the temple of God, and the altar, and them that worship therein.”<sup>122</sup> By way of contrast, John is told *not* to measure the areas lying outside the temple complex proper—in other words, the outer courtyard. In the context of the rest of the chapter, the meaning of the angel’s instructions is clear: only those who are standing *within* the scope of John’s measure—in other words, within the temple—will receive God’s protection.<sup>123</sup>

Of course, we are not speaking here of the measurement of a literal physical structure, but rather of measuring or judging of the people who have been called to form the living temple of God,<sup>124</sup> each individual in his or her differing degree of righteousness.<sup>125</sup> Spiritually speaking, the worshippers standing in the holy place are those who have kept their covenants.<sup>126</sup> These are they who, according to Revelation 14:1, will stand with the Lamb “on... mount Zion.”

By way of contrast, all individuals standing in the outer courtyard, being unmeasured and unprotected, will be, in the words of the book of Revelation, “given unto the Gentiles” to be “tread under foot”<sup>127</sup> with the rest of the wicked in Jerusalem.

Ultimately, we read in section 101, “every corruptible thing... that dwells upon all the face of the earth... shall be consumed.”<sup>128</sup> By “every corruptible thing” the verse means every being that is of a *telestial* nature. Only those who can withstand dwelling in at least a *terrestrial* glory will remain on the earth during the millennial reign of Christ. In that

day, only those who remain unmoved in the holy place will be able to “*stand still*, with the utmost assurance to see the salvation of God.”<sup>129</sup>

In summary, where are the “holy places” in which we are to stand? In light of everything discussed today, the frequently-heard suggestion that such “holy places” include temples, stakes, chapels, and homes seems wholly appropriate.<sup>130</sup> However, it should be remembered that what makes these places holy—and secure—are the covenants kept by those standing within. According to midrash, Sodom itself could have been a place of safety had there been a circle of as few as ten righteous individuals in the city to “pray on behalf of all of them.”<sup>131</sup>

We have completed our selective survey of passages in biblical books from Genesis to Revelation, showing how the idea of “standing in holy places” in modern scripture reverberates throughout ancient religious thought. Now, in conclusion, a few personal observations.



*Learning How to Fall.*

***Personal Observations***

Many years ago, when I learned how to ski, I was taught that the first thing I needed to know was how to fall. In skiing, as in life, falling is an unavoidable if unpleasant prologue to eventual mastery of the slopes. Zornberg insightfully summarizes this lesson from Jewish tradition:

The *Talmud* makes an extraordinary observation about the paradoxes of “standing”: “No man stands on [i.e., can rightly under-stand] the words of *Torah*, unless he has stumbled over them.”<sup>132</sup> To discover firm standing ground, it is necessary to explore, to stumble, even to fall...<sup>133</sup>



*Yahoel Lifts the Fallen Abraham, Codex Sylvester, 14<sup>th</sup> century.*

In our repeated falls, we should be reassured in the knowledge that, like the Israelites at Sinai, we can receive help from “angels” appointed to assist our journey from the foothills of the sacred mountain and back into God’s presence at the summit.<sup>134</sup> Such a scene is depicted above, where the fallen Abraham gratefully testified that the Angel Yahoel “took [him] by [his] right hand and stood [him] on [his] feet.”<sup>135</sup> Through the ordinances of the priesthood, each of us may be given the knowledge and power to rise from our falls and stand in safety in the holy place.



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The Blind in the Ditch*, 1886-1894.<sup>136</sup>

The continual challenges endemic in the life of a disciple should teach us something about the nature of “standing” itself: namely, that what might appear to the naïve as a “static position” will, with experience, eventually be better understood as “a point of equilibrium in the eye of a storm.”<sup>137</sup> Lest anyone think that living a life of continual standing in the presence of God is a “heavy, humdrum, and safe” affair, I close with the words of G. K. Chesterton, who understood that the essence of discipleship is to maintain:

... the equilibrium of a man behind madly rushing horses, seeming to stoop this way and to sway that, yet in every attitude having the grace of statuary and the accuracy of arithmetic... It is always simple to fall; there are an infinity of angles at which one falls, only one at which one stands.<sup>138</sup>

## Appendix: The Abomination of Desolation



*The Abomination of Desolation.*<sup>139</sup>

Though the Joseph Smith Translation and the Authorized Version differ about who or what will or should stand in the holy place, all scriptural accounts cite Daniel as the source for the prophecy about the “abomination of desolation.”<sup>140</sup> This term is sometimes rendered more precisely in modern translations as the “desolating sacrilege” or the “abomination that brings desolation.”<sup>141</sup>

While differing on the timeframe involved, most commentators agree that the “abomination of desolation” prophesied by Jesus, following the pattern of a presumed earlier fulfillment of the same prophecy by Daniel at the time of the Maccabees,<sup>142</sup> has something to do with the desecration of the Jerusalem temple.<sup>143</sup> For example, this illustration shows the Roman general Titus entering the most holy place in AD 70. The setting up of the Roman standards in the temple, or a comparable occurrence at a different time, has frequently been cited as the historical event corresponding to Matthew’s prediction that the “abomination of desolation” would “stand in the holy place.”<sup>144</sup>

In the body of the article, I have already discussed the fact that the Joseph Smith Translation of Matthew 24 replaces the plain sense of the Greek New Testament text predicting that an *evil thing* would desecrate the holy place, thereby *profaning* it, with the idea that the *righteous* would stand in the holy place and would thereby be *saved*.

Throughout the article, I give examples of how the idea of the righteous standing in holy places in modern scripture finds a home in ancient religious thought. The previous analysis, however, leaves an important question unanswered: If the “abomination of desolation” is not some evil thing standing in the temple, what is it? In this appendix, I summarize and expand upon the view of New Testament scholar Peter G. Bolt whose interpretation provides one possible answer to this question.

By way of preface, it should be observed that scholars have found problems with the generally-received view that the “abomination of desolation” referred to by Jesus involved the desecration of the Jerusalem temple. Note that the difficulty in interpretation is not about the desolation that was to come upon the Holy City—everyone agrees that this desolation refers to the Roman siege that ended in AD 70—but rather about the nature of the “abomination” that was to be the proximal cause of this destruction. New Testament scholar R. T. France summarizes and critiques “the three main proposals of historical events which might have been recognized... by those who had heard of Jesus’ prediction”<sup>145</sup> of this “abomination”:

1. In AD 40 the emperor Gaius gave orders for a statue of himself to be set up in the temple at Jerusalem; fortunately the order had still not been carried out when Gaius was assassinated in AD 41, thus averting what would have been a bloody uprising.
2. Probably during the winter of AD 67-68 the Zealots took over the temple as their headquarters, and Josephus speaks with horror of the way they “invaded the sanctuary with polluted feet” and mocked the temple ritual, while the sanctuary was defiled with blood as factional fighting broke out.<sup>146</sup>
3. When the Roman troops eventually broke into the temple, the presence of their (idolatrous) standards in the sacred precincts would inevitably remind Jews of Antiochus; Josephus even mentions Roman soldiers offering sacrifices to their standards in the temple courts.<sup>147</sup> Luke’s parallel to this verse<sup>148</sup> apparently understands the [“abomination of desolation”] in this sense.

However, France concludes that:

None of these three events quite fits what this verse says: the Gaius event was too early (and in fact never happened) and the Roman presence in the sanctuary too late to provide a signal for escape before the end came, while the Zealot occupation, which took place at the right time, was perhaps not quite the type of pagan defilement envisaged by Daniel.



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The First Nail*, 1886-1894.<sup>149</sup>

In light of such difficulties in trying to make prophecy fit history, Peter Bolt has argued that Jesus' words about the "abomination of desolation" did not concern the desecration of the temple in Jerusalem, but rather referred to the violent and ultimately fatal profanation of the temple of Jesus' body—which the Savior Himself previously had said could be destroyed and raised up in three days.<sup>150</sup> Bolt asserts that in quoting the prophet Daniel, the Savior was using "apocalyptic language preparing the disciples for [His own] coming death. This fits with the rest of [the] story, for [there could be no] greater act of sacrilege than the destruction of God's Son in such a horrendous way."<sup>151</sup> Had not Jesus once referred to Himself as "one *greater than* the temple"?<sup>152</sup> Also of significance to the meaning of the prophecy is the fact that Daniel 9:26, in the words of New Testament scholar Craig Keener, "associates the ["abomination of desolation"] with the cutting off of an anointed ruler, close to the time of Jesus."<sup>153</sup>





J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The Raising of the Cross*, 1886-1894.<sup>154</sup>

With respect to the scriptural association of the “abomination of desolation” with the theme of Gentile domination, Bolt explains:

Israel’s leadership will welcome their long-awaited Messiah by handing Him over to the Gentiles; that is, by handing him over to the wrath of God. And if that were not sacrilegious enough, Pilate, the representative of the Gentiles, will receive the Messiah from Israel, and condemn Him to death by crucifixion... If the destruction of the temple of God by Nebuchadnezzar in 587 BC, or the desecration of the temple by Antiochus Epiphanes in 169 BC, was an abomination committed by the Gentiles, how much more is the “temple of his body” desecrated when the Gentiles destroy the Son of God on their cross?



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The Flight of the Apostles*, 1886-1894.<sup>155</sup>

What of Jesus' instructions to His disciples: "let them which be in Judea flee into the mountains"?<sup>156</sup> According to the early church historian Eusebius, Jewish Christians knew of and heeded this warning by Jesus and, when the armies began to surround Jerusalem in 68-70 AD, they fled beyond Jordan, congregating mostly at Pella. Thus, asserted Eusebius, "not one Christian perished in the awful siege."<sup>157</sup>

However, Keener<sup>158</sup> points out at least one unsolved problem, namely that "Pella is not in the Judean mountains but in foothills and reached from the Jordan valley."<sup>159</sup>



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *The Apostles' Hiding Place*, 1886-1894.<sup>160</sup>

Alternatively, in Bolt's view,<sup>161</sup> the flight of the apostles shortly after Christ's death rather than the flight of Jewish Christians following the siege of Jerusalem is the primary reference of Jesus' instructions in Matthew 24:16-20. Once Jesus is arrested by the Romans, the disciples are being told to flee urgently, which they later do in the Garden of Gethsemane.<sup>162</sup>



Vasily Perov, 1833-1882: *Christ in Gethsemane*, 1878.

Concerning the period of great tribulation that is also associated with the prophecy, Bolt explains:<sup>163</sup>

The great distress [mentioned in the Olivet discourse] is also a phrase drawn from Daniel. In the final chapter, Daniel learns that, just before the future day of resurrection,<sup>164</sup> there will be [a] time of terrible suffering.<sup>165</sup> Daniel promises that in that time of distress God's people will be delivered.

Jesus informs his disciples that this suffering will be “such as has not been from the beginning of the creation that God created until now, no, and never will be...”<sup>166</sup> By pushing it back to creation itself, Jesus encompasses the entire period of human existence in order to indicate that this coming distress will exceed any suffering that has ever been experienced... Jesus adds a statement that broadens the scope of His comparison into the future. There “never will be”... such suffering again. The suffering He has in view will be worse than any that has been experienced before, and will be worse than anything else to follow.

There is nothing trivial about the suffering of Christ [during His Atonement. It] was the greatest suffering this world has ever known—or will ever know.

“Which suffering,” the Lord Himself says in D&C 19:18, “caused myself, even God, the greatest of all, to tremble because of pain, and to bleed at every pore, and to suffer both body and spirit—and would that I might not drink the bitter cup, and shrink—”

The Latter-day Saint view, based on an unambiguous statement in the JST, is that a second “abomination of desolation” will occur “in the last days.”<sup>167</sup> If one were to accept Bolt’s arguments that the first “abomination of desolation” had to do with the arrest and crucifixion of Jesus Christ, could an analogous event corresponding to a latter-day fulfillment of this prophecy be found?



J. James Tissot, 1836-1902: *Zacharias Killed Between the Temple and the Altar*, 1886-1894.<sup>168</sup>

Further probing the expected nature of the abomination, it should first be observed that, according to Keener,<sup>169</sup> the “Jewish people recognized that shedding innocent blood in the sanctuary would profane it,<sup>170</sup> and some even saw this defilement as a desolation.<sup>171</sup> Josephus indicated that the shedding of priestly blood in the sanctuary<sup>172</sup> was the desecration or ‘abomination,’ that invited the ultimate desolation of AD 70.”<sup>173</sup> Note also that, in the chapter of Matthew just prior to the discourse on the Mount of Olives,<sup>174</sup> Jesus Himself had alluded to the “blood of the righteous Abel” whose death, in some ancient traditions, was believed to have atoned for the sins of others.<sup>175</sup> In the same verse, Jesus also mentioned the “blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar,” as shown in this illustration.

In the scriptures, there is a latter-day analogue to the shedding of the innocent blood of Jesus Christ. It is, of course, found in the ministry and martyrdom of the two witnesses described in chapter 11 of the book of Revelation.<sup>176</sup> Their ministry, like that of the Savior, is described as corresponding to the prophet Daniel's apocalyptic period of 1260 days. Having carefully scrutinized the evidence, New Testament scholar Gregory Beale, concludes that these "two witnesses are identified with *the Witness*":<sup>177</sup>

The pattern of the narrative of the witnesses' career in 11:2-12 is intended as a replica of Christ's career: proclamation and signs result in satanic opposition, persecution,<sup>178</sup> and violent death in the city where Christ was crucified, the world looks on its victim<sup>179</sup> and rejoices;<sup>180</sup> then the witnesses are raised and vindicated by ascension in a cloud.

In summary, these two events—the crucifixion of the Savior and the martyrdom of the two latter-day witnesses—provide a model for the "abomination of desolation" that is not dependent on the desecration of the Jerusalem temple as the cause of the ensuing desolation of the Holy City. Though Bolt's hypothesis does not, of course, exhaust the possibilities for alternative explanations, it may provide a starting point for an interpretation of the past and future occurrences of the "abomination of desolation" that is consistent with the Joseph Smith Translation of Matthew 24:15.

## References

- Abt, Theodor, and Erik Hornung. *Knowledge for the Afterlife: The Egyptian Amduat — A Quest for Immortality*. Zurich, Switzerland: Living Human Heritage Publications, 2003.
- al-Kisa'i, Muhammad ibn Abd Allah. ca. 1000-1100. *Tales of the Prophets (Qisas al-anbiya)*. Translated by Wheeler M. Thackston, Jr. *Great Books of the Islamic World*, ed. Seyyed Hossein Nasr. Chicago, IL: KAZI Publications, 1997.
- al-Tabari. d. 923. *The History of al-Tabari: General Introduction and From the Creation to the Flood*. Vol. 1. Translated by Franz Rosenthal. *Biblioteca Persica*, ed. Ehsan Yar-Shater. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press, 1989.
- al-Tha'labi, Abu Ishaq Ahmad Ibn Muhammad Ibn Ibrahim. d. 1035. *'Ara'is Al-Majalis Fi Qisas Al-Anbiya' or "Lives of the Prophets"*. Translated by William M. Brinner. *Studies in Arabic Literature, Supplements to the Journal of Arabic Literature, Volume 24*, ed. Suzanne Pinckney Stetkevych. Leiden, Netherlands: Brill, 2002.
- Alexander, P. ed. "3 (Hebrew Apocalypse of) Enoch." In *The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha*, edited by James H. Charlesworth. 2 vols. Vol. 1, 223-315. Garden City, NY: Doubleday and Company, 1983.
- Allen, James P. "The Pyramid Texts of Pepi I." In *The Ancient Egyptian Pyramid Texts*, edited by James P. Allen. *Writings from the Ancient World 23*, ed. Theodore J. Lewis, 97-207. Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2005.
- . "The Pyramid Texts of Unis." In *The Ancient Egyptian Pyramid Texts*, edited by James P. Allen. *Writings from the Ancient World 23*, ed. Theodore J. Lewis, 15-64. Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2005.
- Anderson, Gary A., and Michael Stone, eds. *A Synopsis of the Books of Adam and Eve* 2nd ed. *Society of Biblical Literature: Early Judaism and its Literature*, ed. John C. Reeves. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1999.
- Anderson, Gary A. "The original form of the *Life of Adam and Eve*: A proposal." In *Literature on Adam and Eve: Collected Essays*, edited by Gary A. Anderson, Michael E. Stone and Johannes Tromp, 215-31. Leiden, Netherlands: Brill, 2000.
- . "The penitence narrative in the *Life of Adam and Eve*." In *Literature on Adam and Eve: Collected Essays*, edited by Gary A. Anderson, Michael E. Stone and Johannes Tromp, 3-42. Leiden, Netherlands: Brill, 2000.
- . *The Genesis of Perfection: Adam and Eve in Jewish and Christian Imagination*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2001.
- Anderson, Richard Lloyd. "Joseph Smith's insights into the Olivet Prophecy: Joseph Smith 1 and Matthew 24." In *Pearl of Great Price Symposium: A Centennial Presentation (22 November 1975)*, 48-61. Provo, UT: The Department of Ancient Scripture, Religious Instruction, Brigham Young University, 1975.
- Andrus, Hyrum L. *God, Man, and the Universe*. Salt Lake City, UT: Bookcraft, 1968.
- at-Tabataba'i, Allamah as-Sayyid Muhammad Husayn. 1973. *Al-Mizan: An Exegesis of the Qur'an*. Translated by Sayyid Saeed Akhtar Rizvi. 3rd ed. Tehran, Iran: World Organization for Islamic Services, 1983.
- Barker, Margaret. E-mail message to Jeffrey M. Bradshaw, June 11, 2007.
- . *The Hidden Tradition of the Kingdom of God*. London, England: Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge (SPCK), 2007.

- . *Christmas: The Original Story*. London, England: Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge, 2008.
- Beale, Gregory K. *The Book of Revelation: A Commentary on the Greek Text*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 1999.
- . *The Temple and the Church's Mission: A Biblical Theology of the Dwelling Place of God. New Studies in Biblical Theology 1*, ed. Donald A. Carson. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2004.
- Beale, Gregory K., and Donald A. Carson, eds. *Commentary on the New Testament Use of the Old Testament*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2007.
- Bednar, David A. 2002. Stand ye in holy places (Talk given during Mothers' Weekend, BYU Idaho, 22 March). In. [http://www.byui.edu/Presentations/Transcripts/WomensWeek/2002\\_03\\_22\\_Bednar.htm](http://www.byui.edu/Presentations/Transcripts/WomensWeek/2002_03_22_Bednar.htm). (accessed March 6, 2010).
- Benson, Ezra Taft. *The Teachings of Ezra Taft Benson*. Salt Lake City, UT: Bookcraft, 1988.
- Black, Jeremy A., Anthony Green, and Tessa Rickards. *Gods, Demons, and Symbols of Ancient Mesopotamia: An Illustrated Dictionary*. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press, 1992.
- Block, Daniel I. *The Book of Ezekiel: Chapters 25-48. The New International Commentary on the Old Testament*, ed. Robert L. Hubbard, Jr. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 1998.
- Bloom, Harold. *The American Religion: The Emergence of the Post-Christian Nation*. New York City, NY: Simon and Schuster, 1992.
- Bolt, Peter G. *The Cross from a Distance: Atonement in Mark's Gospel. New Studies in Biblical Theology 18*, ed. Donald A. Carson. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2004.
- Bradshaw, Jeffrey M. *In God's Image and Likeness: Ancient and Modern Perspectives on the Book of Moses*. Salt Lake City, UT: Eborn Publishing, 2010.
- . *Temple Themes in the Book of Moses*. Salt Lake City, UT: Eborn Publishing, 2010.
- Bradshaw, Jeffrey M., and Ronan J. Head. "The investiture panel at Mari and rituals of divine kingship in the ancient Near East." 2011, manuscript submitted for publication.
- Brown, Matthew B. *The Gate of Heaven*. American Fork, UT: Covenant Communications, 1999.
- Brown, Raymond E. *The Death of the Messiah: From Gethsemane to the Grave*. 2 vols. *The Anchor Bible Reference Library*, ed. David Noel Freedman. New York City, NY: Doubleday, 1994.
- Brown, S. Kent. "The arrest." In *From the Last Supper through the Resurrection: The Savior's Final Hours*, edited by Richard Neitzel Holzapfel and Thomas A. Wayment. *The Life and Teachings of Jesus Christ 2*, 165-209. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2003.
- Budge, E. A. Wallis, ed. *The Book of the Cave of Treasures*. London, England: The Religious Tract Society, 1927. Reprint, New York City, NY: Cosimo Classics, 2005.



- Canby, Jeanny Vorys. 2001. *The "Ur-Nammu" Stela. University Museum Monograph 110*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Museum, 2006.
- Charlesworth, James H., ed. *The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha*. 2 vols. Garden City, NY: Doubleday and Company, 1983. <http://ocp.acadiu.ca/>. (accessed September 20, 2008).
- Chesterton, Gilbert Keith. 1908. *Orthodoxy*. New York City, NY: Image Books / Doubleday, 2001.
- Clifford, Richard J. ed. "Ewe and Wheat." In *Creation Accounts in the Ancient Near East and in the Bible*, edited by Richard J. Clifford, 45-46. Washington, DC: Catholic Biblical Association, 1994.
- Davis, Avrohom, and Yaakov Y. H. Pupko. *The Metsudah Midrash Tanchuma, Bamidbar 2*. Translated by S. Cassel. Kamenetsky ed. Lakewood, NJ: Israel Book Shop, 2006.
- de Troyes, Chrétien. ca. 1180. "Yvain." In *Arthurian Romances*. Translated by W. W. Comfort, 157-233. Mineola, NY: Dover Books, 2006.
- Della Torre, Stefano Levi. "The anxiety of Eden." In *The Earthly Paradise: The Garden of Eden from Antiquity to Modernity*, edited by F. Regina Psaki and Charles Hindley. International Studies in Formative Christianity and Judaism, 5-13. Binghamton, NY: Academic Studies in the History of Judaism, Global Publications, State University of New York at Binghamton, 2002.
- Dennis, Lane T., Wayne Grudem, J. I. Packer, C. John Collins, Thomas R. Schreiner, and Justin Taylor. *English Standard Version (ESV) Study Bible*. Wheaton, IL: Crossway Bibles, 2008.
- Dolkart, Judith F., ed. *James Tissot, The Life of Christ: The Complete Set of 350 Watercolors*. New York City, NY: Merrell Publishers and the Brooklyn Museum, 2009.
- Doob, Penelope B. R. *Nebuchadnezzar's Children: Conventions of Madness in Middle English Literature*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1974.
- Ephrem the Syrian. ca. 350-363. "The Hymns on Paradise." In *Hymns on Paradise*, edited by Sebastian Brock, 77-195. Crestwood, New York: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1990.
- Eusebius. ca. 325. *The History of the Church*. Translated by G. A. Williamson and Andrew Louth. London, England: Penguin Books, 1989.
- Faulkner, R. O., Ogden Goelet, Jr., and Carol A. R. Andrews, eds. 1972. *The Egyptian Book of the Dead: The Book of Going Forth by Day*. Translated by R. O. Faulkner. San Francisco, CA: Chronicle Books, 1994.
- Fletcher-Louis, Crispin H. T. "Some reflections on angelomorphic humanity texts among the Dead Sea Scrolls." *Dead Sea Discoveries* 7, no. 3 (2000): 292-312. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4193167>. (accessed January 23, 2010).
- . *All the Glory of Adam: Liturgical Anthropology in the Dead Sea Scrolls*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill, 2002.
- France, Richard Thomas. *The Gospel of Matthew. The New International Commentary on the New Testament*, ed. Gordon D. Fee. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 2007.
- Freedman, H., and Maurice Simon, eds. 1939. *Midrash Rabbah* 3rd ed. 10 vols. London, England: Soncino Press, 1983.

- Goldin, Judah, ed. 1955. *The Fathers According to Rabbi Nathan*. Yale Judaica Series 10. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1983.
- Hamblin, William J. "John 17:6, Name." Unpublished Manuscript. December 11, 2010
- Hayward, Robert. "An Aramaic paradise: Adam and the Garden in the Jewish Aramaic translations of Genesis." Presented at the Temple Studies Group Symposium IV: The Paradisiac Temple—From First Adam to Last, Temple Church, London, England, November 6, 2010.
- Hornung, Erik. "The triumph of magic: The Sun God's victory over Apophis." In *The Valley of the Kings: Horizon of Eternity*, edited by Erik Hornung, 103-13. London, England: Timken Publishers, 1990.
- Ibn Ishaq ibn Yasar, Muhammad. d. 767. *The Making of the Last Prophet: A Reconstruction of the Earliest Biography of Muhammad*. Edited by Gordon Darnell Newby. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press, 1989.
- Isenberg, Wesley W. ed. "The Gospel of Philip (II, 3)." In *The Nag Hammadi Library*, edited by James M. Robinson. 3rd, Completely Revised ed, 139-60. San Francisco, CA: HarperSanFrancisco, 1990.
- Josephus, Flavius. "The Antiquities of the Jews." In *The New Complete Works of Josephus*. Translated by Paul L. Maier and William Whiston, 47-664. Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Publications, 1999.
- . "The Wars of the Jews." In *The New Complete Works of Josephus*. Translated by Paul L. Maier and William Whiston, 667-936. Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Publications, 1999.
- Kaiser, Walter C., Jr. "Exodus." In *The Expositor's Bible Commentary*, edited by Frank E. Gaebelin, 287-497. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1990.
- Keener, Craig S. *The Gospel of John: A Commentary*. 2 vols. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2003.
- . *The Gospel of Matthew: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 2009.
- Kugel, James L. *How to Read the Bible: A Guide to Scripture, Then and Now*. New York City, NY: Free Press, 2007.
- Kulik, Alexander. *Retroverting Slavonic Pseudepigrapha: Toward the Original of the Apocalypse of Abraham*. Text-Critical Studies 3, ed. James R. Adair, Jr. Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2004.
- Madsen, Truman G. *The Sacrament: Feasting at the Lord's Table*. Orem, UT: Amalphi Publishing, 2008.
- Maher, Michael, ed. *Targum Pseudo-Jonathan, Genesis*. Vol. 1b. Aramaic Bible. Collegeville, MN: Liturgical Press, 1992.
- Malan, Solomon Caesar, ed. *The Book of Adam and Eve: Also Called The Conflict of Adam and Eve with Satan: A Book of the Early Eastern Church. Translated from the Ethiopic, with Notes from the Kufale, Talmud, Midrashim, and Other Eastern Works*. London, England: Williams and Norgate, 1882. Reprint, San Diego, CA: The Book Tree, 2005.
- Martinez, Florentino Garcia, ed. *The Dead Sea Scrolls Translated: The Qumran Texts in English* 2nd ed. Translated by Wilfred G. E. Watson. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 1996.

- ed. "Genesis Apocryphon (1QapGen ar)." In *The Dead Sea Scrolls Translated: The Qumran Texts in English*, edited by Florentino Garcia Martinez. 2nd ed. Translated by Wilfred G. E. Watson, 230-37. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 1996.
- Metzger, Bruce M. *Breaking the Code: Understanding the Book of Revelation*. Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 1993.
- Mika'el, Bakhayla. ca. 1400. "The book of the mysteries of the heavens and the earth." In *The Book of the Mysteries of the Heavens and the Earth and Other Works of Bakhayla Mika'el (Zosimas)*, edited by E. A. Wallis Budge, 1-96. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, 1934. Reprint, Berwick, ME: Ibis Press, 2004.
- Nederhof, Mark-Jan. 2008. Papyrus Westcar. In *Ancient Egyptian Texts*. <http://www.cs.st-andrews.ac.uk/~mjn/egyptian/texts/corpus/pdf/Westcar.pdf>. (accessed May 20, 2011).
- Neusner, Jacob, ed. *Genesis Rabbah: The Judaic Commentary to the Book of Genesis, A New American Translation*. 3 vols. Vol. 1: Parashiyot One through Thirty-Three on Genesis 1:1 to 8:14. *Brown Judaic Studies 104*, ed. Jacob Neusner. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1985.
- , ed. *The Mishnah: A New Translation*. London, England: Yale University Press, 1988.
- Nibley, Hugh W. *Abraham in Egypt*. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 1981.
- . "The circle and the square." In *Temple and Cosmos: Beyond This Ignorant Present*, edited by Don E. Norton. The Collected Works of Hugh Nibley 12, 139-73. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 1992.
- . 1975. *The Message of the Joseph Smith Papyri: An Egyptian Endowment*. 2nd ed. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2005.
- Nickelsburg, George W. E., ed. *1 Enoch 1: A Commentary on the Book of 1 Enoch, Chapters 1-36; 81-108*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2001.
- Nickelsburg, George W. E., and James C. VanderKam, eds. *1 Enoch: A New Translation*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2004.
- Ogden, D. Kelly, and Andrew C. Skinner. *The Four Gospels*. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2006.
- Olsen, Steven L. 1985. *The Mormon Ideology of Place: Cosmic Symbolism of the City of Zion, 1830-1846. Dissertations in LDS History*. Provo, UT: Joseph Fielding Smith Institute for Latter-day Saint History and BYU Studies, 2002.
- Ouaknin, Marc-Alain, and Éric Smilévitch, eds. 1983. *Chapitres de Rabbi Éliézer (Pirqué de Rabbi Éliézer): Midrach sur Genèse, Exode, Nombres, Esther. Les Dix Paroles*, ed. Charles Mopsik. Lagrasse, France: Éditions Verdier, 1992.
- Parry, Jay A., and Donald W. Parry. *Understanding the Book of Revelation*. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 1998.
- Payne, J. Barton. *The Imminent Appearing of Christ*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 1962.
- Philo. b. 20 BCE. "On Dreams." In *Philo*, edited by F. H. Colson and G. H. Whitaker. Revised ed. 12 vols. Vol. 5. Translated by F. H. Colson and G. H. Whitaker. The Loeb Classical Library 275, 283-579. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1934.

- Pinch, Geraldine. *Egyptian Mythology: A Guide to the Gods, Goddesses, and Traditions of Ancient Egypt*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Pritchard, James B. "Charms against snakes a." In *Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament*, edited by James B. Pritchard, 326. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1972.
- Pseudo-Philo. *The Biblical Antiquities of Philo*. Translated by Montague Rhodes James. London, England: Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge (SPCK), 1917. Reprint, Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock Publishers, 2006.
- Rashi. c. 1105. *The Torah with Rashi's Commentary Translated, Annotated, and Elucidated*. Vol. 2: Shemos/Exodus. Translated by Rabbi Yisrael Isser Zvi Herczeg. *ArtScroll Series, Sapirstein Edition*. Brooklyn, NY: Mesorah Publications, 1994.
- . c. 1105. *The Torah with Rashi's Commentary Translated, Annotated, and Elucidated*. Vol. 1: Beresheis/Genesis. Translated by Rabbi Yisrael Isser Zvi Herczeg. *ArtScroll Series, Sapirstein Edition*. Brooklyn, NY: Mesorah Publications, 1995.
- Rhodes, Michael D., ed. *Books of the Dead Belonging to Tshemmin and Neferirnub: A Translation and Commentary*. *Studies in the Book of Abraham 4*, ed. John Gee and Brian M. Hauglid. Provo, UT: Neal A. Maxwell Institute, Brigham Young University, 2010.
- Ridderbos, Herman N. *The Gospel According to John: A Theological Commentary*. Translated by John Vriend. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 1997.
- Sarna, Nahum M., ed. *Genesis. The JPS Torah Commentary*, ed. Nahum M. Sarna. Philadelphia, PA: The Jewish Publication Society, 1989.
- , ed. *Exodus. The JPS Torah Commentary*, ed. Nahum M. Sarna. Philadelphia, PA: The Jewish Publication Society, 1991.
- Schweizer, Andreas. 1994. *The Sungod's Journey Through the Netherworld: Reading the Ancient Egyptian Amduat*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2010.
- Shakespeare, William. 1600. "The Tragedy of Hamlet, Prince of Denmark." In *The Riverside Shakespeare*, edited by G. Blakemore Evans, 1135-97. Boston, MA: Houghton-Mifflin Company, 1974.
- . 1604. "Measure for Measure." In *The Riverside Shakespeare*, edited by G. Blakemore Evans, 545-86. Boston, MA: Houghton-Mifflin Company, 1974.
- Shalit, Leon. "How Moses turned a staff into a snake and back again." *Biblical Archaeology Review* 9, no. 3 (1983): 72-73. <http://members.bib-arch.org/search.asp?PubID=BSBA&Volume=9&Issue=3&ArticleID=5&UserID=4584&>. (accessed May 20, 2011).
- Slanski, Kathryn E. "The Rod and Ring: Enduring Icon of Just Kingship, 2100-850 BCE." *Proceedings of the British Academy*, no. 136 (2008): 37-60. Reprint, Harriet Crawford (ed.), *Regime Change in the Ancient Near East and Egypt: From Sargon of Agade to Saddam Hussein*. New York City, NY: Oxford University Press, 2008, pp. 37-60.
- Sparks, Jack Norman, and Peter E. Gillquist, eds. *The Orthodox Study Bible*. Nashville, TN: Thomas Nelson, 2008.
- Stuckenbruck, Loren T. "The formation and re-formation of Daniel in the Dead Sea Scrolls." In *The Bible and the Dead Sea Scrolls: The Second Princeton*

- Symposium on Judaism and Christian Origins*, edited by James H. Charlesworth. Scripture and the Scrolls 1, ed. James H. Charlesworth, 101-30. Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2006.
- Talmage, James E. 1915. *Jesus the Christ*. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 1983.
- Taylor, John H. *Spells for Eternity: The Ancient Egyptian Book of the Dead*. London, England: The British Museum Press, 2010.
- Todd, Jay M. "Background of the Church Historian's Fragment." *Improvement Era* 71, February 1968, 40A-40I.
- Townsend, John T., ed. *Midrash Tanhuma*. 3 vols. Jersey City, NJ: Ktav Publishing, 1989-2003.
- Tvedtnes, John A. "Nebuchadnezzar or Nabonidus? Mistaken identities in the book of Daniel." *Ensign* 16, September 1986, 54.
- . "Temple prayer in ancient times." In *The Temple in Time and Eternity*, edited by Donald W. Parry and Stephen D. Ricks, 79-98. Provo, UT: The Foundation for Ancient Research and Mormon Studies, Brigham Young University, 1999.
- . E-mail message to Jeffrey M. Bradshaw, March 8, 2010.
- Vermes, Geza, ed. 1962. *The Complete Dead Sea Scrolls in English* Revised ed. London, England: Penguin Books, 2004.
- Weil, G., ed. 1846. *The Bible, the Koran, and the Talmud or, Biblical Legends of the Mussulmans, Compiled from Arabic Sources, and Compared with Jewish Traditions, Translated from the German*. New York City, NY: Harper and Brothers, 1863. Reprint, Kila, MT: Kessinger Publishing, 2006.
- [http://books.google.com/books?id=\\_jYMAAAIAAJ](http://books.google.com/books?id=_jYMAAAIAAJ). (accessed September 8, 2007).
- Welch, John W. *The Sermon on the Mount in the Light of the Temple*. Farnham, England: Ashgate, 2009.
- Wheeler, Brannon M. *Prophets in the Quran: An Introduction to the Quran and Muslim Exegesis. Comparative Islam Studies*, ed. Brannon M. Wheeler. London, England: Continuum, 2002.
- Wintermute, O. S. ed. "Jubilees." In *The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha*, edited by James H. Charlesworth. Vol. 2, 35-142. Garden City, NY: Doubleday and Company, 1983.
- Wise, Michael, Martin Abegg, Jr., and Edward Cook, eds. *The Dead Sea Scrolls: A New Translation*. New York City, NY: Harper-Collins, 1996.
- Zlotowitz, Rabbi Meir, and Rabbi Nosson Scherman, eds. 1977. *Bereishis/Genesis: A New Translation with a Commentary Anthologized from Talmudic, Midrashic and Rabbinic Sources* 2nd ed. Two vols. *ArtScroll Tanach Series*, ed. Rabbi Nosson Scherman and Rabbi Meir Zlotowitz. Brooklyn, NY: Mesorah Publications, 1986.
- Zornberg, Avivah Gottlieb. *Genesis: The Beginning of Desire*. Philadelphia, PA: Jewish Publication Society, 1995.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to David J. Larsen, William J. Hamblin, and Matthew B. Brown for their careful reading of the paper and for their excellent suggestions.

## Endnotes

---

1 Matthew 24:15.

2 The only significant mention of this change that I have found is by Richard Lloyd Anderson, though without any explanation or defense of it (R. L. Anderson, *Joseph Smith's Insights*, p. 61 n. 37):

The first word of the King James phrase, “stand in the holy place,” translates a Greek participle dependent on “abomination,” which is thus “standing in the holy place.” With historical meaning as a concept, the sentence was recast with “stand” as an imperative verb: at the coming of the abomination of desolation, “then you shall stand in the holy place” (Joseph Smith—Matthew 1:12). Incidentally, this adaptation also gave new meaning to “holy place.”

3 H. Bloom, *American Religion*, pp. 98, 99, 101.

4 J. L. Kugel, *How to Read*, pp. 674, 676; cf. M. Barker, *Christmas*, pp. 29-30.

5 A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, p. 21.

6 From J. F. Dolkart, *James Tissot*, p. 195.

7 Joseph Smith—Matthew 1:4.

8 See J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, Excursus 53, p. 675.

9 Matthew 24:15.

10 Matthew 24:15.

11 Mark 13:14.

12 Luke 21:20.

13 Matthew 24:15.

14 Joseph Smith—Matthew 1:12-13.

15 Scholars have long puzzled over the significance of the double reference to Adam and Eve's expulsion in vv. 29 and 31. Some ancient traditions see the couple's exit from the Garden of Eden as having occurred in two stages. For example, the *Qur'an* explicitly records that Adam and Eve were twice told to go down (*Qur'an*, 2:36, 38), explaining that they “were removed first from the Garden to its courtyard and then from the courtyard to the earth” (A. a.-S. M. H. at-Tabataba'i, *Al-Mizan*, 1:209). An idea consistent with Ephrem the Syrian's idea of the Fall as an attempted intrusion in the holiest regions of the Garden is that Adam and Eve were first removed from the border of the celestial region to the terrestrial paradise, and then, in the second stage, were

---

expelled from the terrestrial paradise to the telestial earth (Ephrem the Syrian, *Paradise*, 3:5, p. 92, 3:13-15, pp. 95-96).

16 Exodus 6:1. See N. M. Sarna, *Genesis*, p. 30.

17 See D&C 45:32.

18 Thanks to Matthew B. Brown for pointing me to this image.

19 Zornberg's translation of Rashi, *Genesis* 2:7, in A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, p. 16. Compare Rashi, *Genesis Commentary*, 2:7, p. 23; J. Neusner, *Genesis Rabbah* 1, 14:8:1, p. 156.

20 Zornberg's translation of Rashi, *Genesis* 2:7, in A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, p. 16. Compare Rashi, *Genesis Commentary*, 2:7, p. 23.

21 A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, p. 16.

22 *Ibid.*, p. 22.

23 E.g., M.-A. Ouaknin *et al.*, *Rabbi Éliézer*, 11, p. 78; J. Goldin, *Fathers*, 1, p. 11.

24 A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, p. 23.

25 See also J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, pp. 471-473, 681-686; J. M. Bradshaw, *Moses Temple Themes*, pp. 38-39.

26 Ezekiel 37:10. Cf. 2 Kings 13:21.

Alma the Younger experienced a fall and a figurative death when he and his companions were visited by an angel, and a rebirth three days later when his mouth was opened and he was again able to stand on his feet: "I fell to the earth; and it was for the space of three days and three nights that I could not open my mouth, neither had I the use of my limbs... But behold my limbs did receive their strength again, and I stood upon my feet, and did manifest unto the people that I had been born of God" (*Alma* 36:10, 23; cf. King Lamoni and his people in *Alma* 18:42-43, 19:1-34).

Falling in weakness after a vision of God is a common motif in scripture. Daniel reported that he "fainted, and was sick certain days," and of a second occasion he wrote: "I was left alone... and there remained no strength in me... and when I heard the voice of his words, then was I in a deep sleep on my face, and my face toward the ground" (*Daniel* 8:26; 10:8-9). Saul "fell to the earth" during his vision and remained blind until healed by Ananias (*Acts* 9:4, 17-18). Lehi "cast himself on his bed, being overcome with the Spirit" (*1 Nephi* 1:7). Of his weakness following the First Vision, Joseph Smith wrote: "When I came to myself again, I found myself lying on my back, looking up into heaven. When the light had departed, I had no strength..." (*JS-H* 1:20). See also discussion of A. Kulik, *Retroverting Apocalypse of Abraham* 10:1-4, p. 17 below.

27 See J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, p. 683 figure 53-11.

28 *Ibid.*, p. 228 figure 4-10.

29 G. W. E. Nickelsburg, *1 Enoch*, *1 Enoch* 14:24, p. 267: "And one of the holy ones came to me and raised me up and stood me [on my feet]"; G. W. E. Nickelsburg *et al.*, *1 Enoch*, 71:3, p. 93: "And the angel Michael... took me by my right hand and raised me up"; P. Alexander, *3 Enoch*, 1:5, p. 256: "He grasped me with his hand before their eyes and said to me, 'Come in peace into

---

the presence of the high and exalted King”; *ibid.*, 48A:2, p. 300: “I went with him, and, taking me by his hand, he bore me up on his wings.”

30 J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, p. 684 figure 53-13.

31 Daniel 8:18: “he touched me, and set me upright”; Daniel 10:9-10: “then was I in a deep sleep on my face, and my face toward the ground. And, behold, an hand touched me, which set me upon my knees.”

32 Revelation 1:17: “I fell at his feet as dead. And he laid his right hand upon me.”

33 In Alma 19:29-30, the raising of two individuals who have fallen in rapturous vision is performed by mortal women.

34 Ezekiel 2:1-2: “And he said unto me, Son of man, stand upon thy feet, and I will speak unto thee. And the spirit entered into me when he spake unto me, and set me upon my feet, that I heard him that spake unto me.”

35 Daniel 10:11: “O Daniel, . . . understand the words that I speak unto thee, and stand upright: for unto thee am I now sent.”

36 Acts 26:16: “But rise, and stand upon thy feet: for I have appeared unto thee for this purpose, to make thee a minister and a witness.”

37 Alma 36:7-8.

38 Nickelsburg explains (G. W. E. Nickelsburg, *1 Enoch*, 14:24-15:1, p. 270):

The seer must be rehabilitated and accepted into the divine presence before he can receive his commission. Restoration by an angel becomes a typical feature in visions, where, however, it is the angel whose appearance causes the collapse.

39 E.g., Deuteronomy 10:8, 18:7; 2 Chronicles 29:11.

40 E.g., Luke 1:19.

41 See, e.g., Luke 18:13.

42 Notes taken by David J. Larsen on a unpublished talk by Robert Hayward (R. Hayward, *Aramaic Paradise*).

43 E.g., 1 Esdras 8:89-90.

44 Zornberg’s translation. Compare H. Freedman *et al.*, *Midrash*, Numbers 1, 11:3, 5:419.

45 See Daniel 4. The *Gospel of Philip* says: “There are two trees growing in Paradise. The one bears [animals], the other bears men. Adam [ate] from the tree which bore animals. [He] became an animal” (W. W. Isenberg, *Philip*, 71:21-72:4, p. 152). *Philip* uses, as Barker points out, “the usual apocalypticists’ code of mortal = animal and angel = man. The text is broken, but the sense is clear enough” (M. Barker, June 11 2007. See M. Barker, *Hidden*, pp. 45-47; C. H. T. Fletcher-Louis, *Glory*, p. 33). Ephrem the Syrian reasoned that since Adam “went astray through [an animal] he became like the [animals]: He ate, together with them as a result of the curse, grass and roots” (Ephrem the Syrian, *Paradise*, 13:5, p. 170).



---

Nibley connects the story of Nebuchadnezzar's "fall" to the Egyptian story of Osiris who, like Adam, was said to have been freed from a split tree (H. W. Nibley, Message 2005, p. 289):

In the book of Daniel, the tree that was split was the king himself (Daniel 4:13-15, 22); however the stump was not destroyed but preserved for a seven-year period (Daniel 4:23), during which time the king was ritually humiliated... (Daniel 4:33; cf. *Apis-bull* and *Horus-hawk*), only to resume his throne with all his glory greatly enhanced at the end of the seven-year period (Daniel 4:25, 31-34). This is the Egyptian seven-year throne period of the king... The splitting of the tree is plainly the substitute sacrifice, while its preservation against the time when the king shall be restored recalls the important role of the *ished-tree* in the coronation.

Although nothing like this episode can be associated directly with the historic King Nebuchadnezzar (605-562 BCE), both Neo-Babylonian inscriptions and the *Prayer of Nabonidus* (4Q242) fragment of the *Dead Sea Scrolls* provide evidence of a pre-Danielic tradition associating a similar story with Nabonidus, the last ruler of the Neo-Babylonian Empire (556-539 BCE) and father of Belsharusur (biblical "King Belshazzar"—see Daniel 5:22, 7:1, 8:1; F. G. Martinez, DSS Translated, p. 289; L. T. Stuckenbruck, Daniel, pp. 104-106; J. A. Tvednes, Nebuchadnezzar; G. Vermes, Complete, p. 614; M. Wise *et al.*, DSS, pp. 340-342). In his prayer, the king tells of his suffering with an "evil skin disease" for a period of seven years by the decree of God, and at least one scholar has proposed that a lacuna in the text "originally described Nabunay's state as comparable to that of a beast (see Daniel 4:25b), or that he was 'set apart from human beings'" (L. T. Stuckenbruck, Daniel, p. 105. See Daniel 4:25a). After appealing to gods of silver, gold, bronze, iron, wood, stone, and clay, his sins were forgiven by a Jewish healer after he finally prayed to the Most High God. A similar healing blessing performed by Abraham with the laying of hands upon the head is described in F. G. Martinez, Genesis Apocryphon, 20:28-29, p. 234.

46 To the scriptural example of Nebuchadnezzar, Doob (P. B. R. Doob, *Nebuchadnezzar's Children*) compares the Arthurian knights Yvain, Lancelot, and Tristan, who were driven mad by disappointments in love. See, e.g., C. de Troyes, Yvain, p. 189, where Yvain "dwelt in the forest like a madman or a savage." Thanks to BYU Professor Jesse Hurlbut for this reference.

47 G. A. Anderson *et al.*, Synopsis, 4:2, p. 5E; G. A. Anderson, Penitence, pp. 13-19; G. A. Anderson, Perfection, pp. 141-147.

48 S. L. Della Torre, Anxiety, p. 7.

49 M. Maher, Pseudo-Jonathan, 3:18, p. 28. According to the *Targum*, God answers Adam's prayer as follows (*ibid.*, 3:19, pp. 28-29):

By the *labor of your hand* you shall eat *food* until you return to the *dust* from which you were *created*, because dust you are, and to dust you will return; *but from the dust you are destined to arise* [literally "stand up"] *to render an account and a reckoning of all you have done, on the day of great judgment.*

50 G. A. Anderson, Original Form, p. 229. As part of this reading of Moses 4:24-25, the phrase "By the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread" was seen by some early interpreters as God's promise to provide a less humiliating form of sustenance once Adam's penance was complete. At its conclusion, "God rescinds [His] initial decree and offers [him] seed-bearing grain from which he can make bread... [thus fulfilling] a prophecy made at the end of the sixth day of creation" (G.

---

A. Anderson, *Penitence*, p. 19; see Moses 2:29). A Coptic Christian tradition specifically mentions wheat (along with instructions for sowing and reaping) as having been divinely provided in answer to Adam's cries of hunger:

If Thou art moved with compassion for the man whom We have created, and who has rejected My commandment, go Thou and give him Thine own flesh and let him eat thereof, for it is Thou Who has made Thyself his advocate." Then our Lord took a little piece of the flesh of His divine side, and rubbed it down into small pieces, and showed them to His Father. When God saw them He said to His Son, "Wait and I will give Thee some of My own flesh, which is invisible." Then God took a portion of His own body, and made it into a grain of wheat, and He sealed the grain in the middle with the seal wherewith He sealed the worlds of light, and then gave it to our Lord and told Him to give it to Michael, the archangel,<sup>50</sup> who was to give it to Adam and teach him how to sow and reap it. Michael found Adam by the Jordan, who as he had eaten nothing for eight days was crying to God for food, and as soon as Adam received the grain of wheat, he ceased to cry out, and became strong, and his descendants have lived on wheat ever since. Water, wheat and the throne of God "are the equals of the Son of God. (E. A. W. Budge, *Coptic Apocrypha*, cited in E. A. W. Budge, *Cave*, pp. 18-19 n. 1. See also M. i. A. A. al-Kisa'i, *Tales*, pp. 68-70; al-Tabari, *Creation*, 1:127-130, pp. 298-300; S. C. Malan, *Adam and Eve*, 1:66-68, pp.78-83; D&C 89:17)

An Ethiopian source asserts that the Tree of Life "is the Body of Christ which none of the Seraphim touch without reverent awe" (B. Mika'el, *Mysteries*, p. 26). Note that the Egyptian Osiris was thought to have introduced wheat and the vine to mankind, and also saw wheat grains as having been formed from his body.

The notion of wheat being divinely provided for Adam is also found in Islamic sources (G. Weil, *Legends*, pp. 31, 45. See also M. Ibn Ishaq ibn Yasar, *Making*, pp. 34, 37; cf. A. I. A. I. M. I. I. al-Tha'labi, *Lives*, pp. 63-65; B. M. Wheeler, *Prophets*, pp. 27-28). In addition, the Sumerian text *Ewe and Wheat* recounts how wool and wheat were divinely provided in primeval times: "The people in those distant days, They knew not bread to eat; They knew not cloth to wear; They went about with naked limbs in the Land, And like sheep they ate grass with their mouth... Then Enki spoke to Enlil: 'Father Enlil, Ewe and Wheat... Let us now send them down from the Holy Hill'" (R. J. Clifford, *Ewe*, 20-24, 37-38, 40, pp. 45-46).

Linking the situations of Adam and Nebuchadnezzar to that of each penitent Christian, Ephrem the Syrian wrote that "only when [Nebuchadnezzar] repented did he return to his former abode and kingship. Blessed is He who has thus taught us to repent so that we too may return to Paradise" (Ephrem the Syrian, *Paradise*, 13:6, p. 171). The bread promised to Adam on conditions of repentance and baptism by water can be seen as a type of Christ, the "bread of life" (John 6:35). Christ's advent was, of course, preceded by John, dressed in the rough clothes of a penitent, eating what he could find in the wild, and baptizing "unto repentance" (Matthew 3:11. See T. G. Madsen, *Sacrament*, p. 85).

51 A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, p. 21.

52 "For these are those selected by God for an everlasting covenant and to them shall belong the glory of Adam." (Rule of the Community 4:22-23 in F. G. Martinez, *DSS Translated*, p. 7; H. W. Nibley, *Message* 2005, p. 467).

53 D&C 121:45.

---

54 M. Maher, Pseudo-Jonathan, 3:15, pp. 27-28. Cf. W. Shakespeare, Measure, 2:2:75, p. 560.

55 Following Zornberg's literal translation—others read in terms of Adam's capacity to "exist" or "survive" (see, e.g., A. Davis *et al.*, The Metsudah Midrash Tanchuma, Bamidbar 2, Masei, 11, p. 354; J. T. Townsend, Tanhuma, 10 (Mas'e):8, Numbers 35:9ff, Part 1, 3:264; cf. H. Freedman *et al.*, Midrash, Numbers 23:13, 6:877). Zornberg explains: "The simplest reading of 'standing' would be 'survival.' But, implicitly, both Adam and the world are in need of some Archimedean point of stability, in a situation in which disintegration threatens" (A. G. Zornberg, Genesis, p. 25).

By way of contrast, consider Cain's protest: "Since I am to be a restless wanderer, I cannot *stand in one place*—that is what banishment from the soil means—I have no place of rest. 'And I must avoid Your presence'—for I cannot stand before You to pray" (*ibid.*, p. 21).

56 G. A. Anderson, Perfection, p. 138. Cf. *Jubilees*: "the Lord cursed the serpent and he was angry with it forever" (O. S. Wintermute, *Jubilees*, 3:23, p. 60).

57 In the art of the ancient Near East, the serpent is often shown as originally walking erect, sometimes with legs (N. M. Sarna, Genesis, p. 27). Moreover, Islamic, Jewish, and early Christian texts often speak of the serpent's magnificent "camel-like" appearance before its cursing (e.g., al-Tabari, Creation, 1:104-110, pp. 275-281; S. C. Malan, Adam and Eve, p. 214 n. 20, p. 217, nn. 27-29).

In the *Tschemmin Book of the Dead* (also known as *Joseph Smith Papyrus V*) from third- or second-century BC Egypt, a legged serpent appears in illustrated form, facing the staff-wielding initiate (H. L. Andrus, God, p. 371; H. W. Nibley, Message 2005, p. 318 figure 98; M. D. Rhodes, Books of the Dead, Tschemmin Book of the Dead 74, Column X+3, p. 84; J. M. Todd, Fragment, p. 40E. Cf. the vignette of spell 87 in the *Papyrus of Ani*, which contains instructions for being transformed into a serpent who is capable of endless cycles of rebirth (R. O. Faulkner *et al.*, Book of the Dead 1994, plate 27. See J. H. Taylor, Spells, p. 65)). Rhodes cites Mosher's conjecture that this vignette, not directly mentioned in the text of the chapter itself but perhaps related to its mention of "hurrying the feet and going forth [on the earth]," is a representation of the "desire of the deceased to come forth from the earth (tomb) and walk the earth" (M. D. Rhodes, Books of the Dead, Tschemmin Book of the Dead 74, p. 43). Nibley, on the other hand, interprets the legged serpent in light of its punishment "for attempting to frustrate the progress of the god on his journey or the initiate on his way" (H. W. Nibley, Message 2005, p. 315). Apparently, the opposing serpent is here identified with the funerary god Sokar ("It is against me that you do the things you do, O Sokar, Sokar who is in his cave, my opponent in the god's domain... upon the shores of him who would seize their utterance in the god's domain" (M. D. Rhodes, Books of the Dead, Tschemmin Book of the Dead 74, p. 43)). According to the *Amduat*, within the cavern "filled with flames of fire from the mouth of Isis" and surrounded by sandy shores of the lake of fire-water ("fiery pain for the enemies of Re but cool water for the blessed souls"), Sokar (the name given to the dead corpse of Osiris, from at least the time of the Old Kingdom, after he was murdered by his brother Seth (G. Pinch, Egyptian Mythology, p. 203) and based on a play on the words of his cry of mortal distress (J. P. Allen, Pyramid Texts of Pepi I, 480, p. 165, p. 205 n. 129)) and Re (the Sungod, who appears in the cavern in the form of a multi-headed serpent shaped like a barque) unite the opposites of death and life (T. Abt *et al.*, Knowledge, pp. 71-72; cf. A. Schweizer, Sungod's Journey, pp. 91-99), enabling, after the ultimate defeat of the evil serpent Apophis by the gods (E. Hornung, Triumph of Magic), the eventual regeneration and rebirth of the initiate.

---

In the next chapter, *Tschemmin Book of the Dead* 75, the staff-wielding candidate must face yet another test before entering the Holy Place. The opposing entity in the accompanying vignette is accurately but benignly described by Rhodes as “the hieroglyphic sign for Heliopolis” (M. D. Rhodes, *Books of the Dead*, p. 44). Nibley, however, explains that the sign originally represented the “sword and flame” that were instrumental in the defeat of the serpent (H. W. Nibley, *Message* 2005, p. 318 caption to figure 98, see also pp. 319-320). According to Nibley, the symbol was known as:

... the spear of Horus of Heliopolis with which he overcame the Adversary, the Serpent, when he took the rule... As to the two columns flanking the spear, the Jews, according to W. Kornfeld, were quite aware that the two famous pillars, Boaz and Jachin and strength and capital righteousness, that stood at the entrance to the Temple of Solomon (2 Chronicles 3:17), “belonged to the solar cult of On”—referring to the biblical name for Heliopolis.

While the “keepers of the pylons, standing with swords in their hands before a gate from which flames shoot forth” admit the Sun God Re, they prevent any possibility of the evil serpent entering the realm of the blessed (*ibid.*, p. 320). Within the temple at Heliopolis, Egyptian priests reenacted the defeat of Apophis by ritually trampling images of the evil serpent underfoot (cf. Genesis 3:15; J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image, Moses* 4:21d, pp. 266-267). Only after Adam and Eve “have been *first* purified by the hand of the cherubim” may they also enter within (H. W. Nibley, *Message* 2005, p. 320; cf. J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image, Moses* 4:31e, p. 282).

58 “Having arrogantly aggrandized itself in a challenge to God, it is now permanently doomed to a posture of abject humiliation” (N. M. Sarna, *Genesis*, p. 27).

59 H. W. Nibley, *Abraham* 1981, p. 180; H. W. Nibley, *Message* 2005, pp. 317. Taylor asserts that the serpent’s human legs “indicated the power of swift movement” (J. H. Taylor, *Spells*, p. 65), while Nibley sees the loss of its limbs as representing the fact that it “may never more progress, being ‘bound by Aker, deprived of arms and legs, bound in one place’—as Re ‘inflicts the blows decreed for him’” (H. W. Nibley, *Message* 2005, p. 317).

60 Genesis 3:14. In the story of the contest between Moses with Pharaoh’s magicians (cf. Genesis 41:1-7), the upright staff of authoritative rulership is in deliberate contrast to the prostrate posture of a serpent. After the magicians succeeded in transforming their rods into serpents as Aaron had done, the author of Exodus pointedly tells us that it was “Aaron’s *rod* [that] swallowed up their *rods*” (Exodus 7:12), not Aaron’s *serpent* that swallowed up their *serpents*. “The rod in ancient Egypt was a symbol of royal authority and power, while the snake, the uraeus, represented the patron cobra-goddess of Lower Egypt. Worn over the forehead on the headdress of the pharaohs, it was emblematic of divinely-protected sovereignty, and it served as a menacing symbol of death dealt to enemies of the crown” (N. M. Sarna, *Exodus*, 4:3, p. 20, see also 7:12-13, p. 37). Also highlighting the fact that question of authority to rule rather than magical prowess was the issue at hand is the deliberate choice of the Hebrew term *tannin* (“large reptile,” e.g., crocodile, sea monster, leviathan) rather than *nachash* (“snake,” as in Exodus 4:3-4, 7:15) for the transformed staff (Exodus 7:9-10). *Tannin* was often “used metaphorically as a symbol of national empires and power” (W. C. Kaiser, Jr., *Exodus*, p. 347 n. 9. See Deuteronomy 32:33, Psalm 74:13, Ezekiel 29:3).

Incidentally, “[t]he use of magic in Egypt is well-documented in [Talc 2 of the] Westcar Papyrus (M.-J. Nederhof, *Papyrus Westcar*) where magicians are credited with changing wax crocodiles into real ones only to be turned back to wax again after seizing their tails. Montet... also refers to several Egyptian scarabs that depict a snake charmer holding a serpent made stiff as a staff up in

---

the air before some observing deities (cf. J. P. Allen, *Pyramid Texts of Unis*, 3, p. 17; J. B. Pritchard, *Charms Against Snakes*, with a spell on a 'spotted' knife [representing a snake?] that 'goes forth against its like' and devours it)" (W. C. Kaiser, Jr., *Exodus*, 7:10-13, p. 347). See also L. Shalit, *How Moses*.

61 J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, pp. 338-350.

62 In the case of the rabbis, this was understood to be the five books of Moses, the *Torah*. Concerning the sixth day of Creation, Rashi commented: "*The sixth day*": the definite article [*heh*] is added here to teach that God had made a condition with all the works of the beginning, depending on Israel's acceptance of the Five [the numerical value of *heh*] Books of the *Torah*. (Zornberg's translation in A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, p. 27). Compare Rashi, *Genesis Commentary*, 1:31, p. 19.

The idea of five sacred things is encountered in other forms Jewish tradition. For example, Jewish authorities held that five things were lost when Solomon's temple was destroyed. Both Margaret Barker and Hugh Nibley specifically connect these "five things" to lost ordinances of the High Priesthood (see J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, pp. 658-660).

63 D&C 84:23-27; JST Exodus 34:1-2.

64 Exodus 20:18.

65 A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, pp. 32-33. Zornberg's comment is based on a midrash of Rashi on Exodus 20:15-16 (= KJV Exodus 20:18): "And all the people could see the sounds and the flames, the sound of the shofar and the smoking mountain; the people saw and they moved and they stood from afar. They said to Moses, 'You speak to us and we shall hear; let God not speak to us lest we die'" (Rashi, *Exodus Commentary*, pp. 240-241). The "sounds" are read as coming from the "mouth of the Almighty." The movement is one of trembling, not to be understood as the same one that led them to be standing "from afar." Rashi says that the people "drew back twelve miles, the length of their camp, and the ministering angels would come and assist them to return, as it says 'The kings of legions move about' (Psalm 68:13)" (*ibid.*, p. 241). "The *Talmud* reads the word 'kings' as 'angels,' and the intransitive verb 'move about' as the transitive verb 'move others' (see *Mechilta; Shabbos* 88a)" (Editor's note in *ibid.*, p. 241).

66 <http://dwellingintheword.wordpress.com/category/bible/uncategorized/page/6/>

67 A. G. Zornberg, *Genesis*, pp. 23-24.

68 "R. Simeon b. Yohai observed: As long as a man refrains from sin he is an object of awe and fear. The moment he sins he is himself subject to awe and fear. Before Adam sinned he used to hear the voice of the divine communication while standing on his feet and without flinching. As soon as he sinned, he heard the voice of the divine communication and hid... (Genesis 3:8). R. Abin said: Before Adam sinned, the Voice sounded to him gentle; after he had sinned it sounded to him harsh. Before Israel had sinned, *The appearance of the glory of the Lord was like devouring fire on the top of the mount* (Exodus 24:17). R. Abba b. Kahana observed: Seven partitions of fire were consuming one another and Israel looked on undaunted and undismayed. As soon as they had sinned, however, they could not even look at the face of the intermediary [i.e., Moses] (Exodus 34:30)" (H. Freedman *et al.*, *Midrash, Numbers (Naso)*, 11:3, p. 419).

69 Mark 9:2-13.

---

70 Exodus 24:18, 33:7-11.

71 C. H. T. Fletcher-Louis, *Reflections*, pp. 299-301. I am indebted to David Larsen for pointing me to this article.

72 *Ibid.*, p. 303. Fletcher-Louis cites the following from Philo:

“Here I *stand* there before you, on the rock in Horeb” (Exodus 17:6), which means, “this I, the manifest, Who am here, am there also, am everywhere, for I have filled all things. I *stand* ever the same immutable, before you or anything that exists came into being, established on the topmost and most ancient source of power, whence showers forth the birth of all that is...” And Moses too gives his testimony to the unchangeableness of the deity when he says “they saw the place where the God of Israel stood” (Exodus 24:10), for by the *standing* or establishment he indicates his immutability. But indeed so vast in its excess is the stability of the Deity that He imparts to chosen natures a share of His steadfastness to be their richest possession. For instance, He says of His covenant filled with His bounties, the highest law and principle, that is, which rules existent things, that this god-like image shall be firmly planted with the righteous soul as its pedestal... And it is the earnest desire of all the God-beloved to fly from the stormy waters of engrossing business with its perpetual turmoil of surge and billow, and anchor in the calm safe shelter of virtue’s roadsteads. See what is said of wise Abraham, how he was “*standing* in front of God” (Genesis 18:22), for when should we expect a mind to *stand* and no longer sway as on the balance save when it is opposite God, seeing and being seen?... To Moses, too, this divine command was given: “Stand here with me” (Deuteronomy 5:31), and this brings out both the points suggested above, namely the unswerving quality of the man of worth, and the absolute stability of Him that IS. (modified by Fletcher-Louis from Philo, *Dreams*, 2:32, 221-2:33, 227, pp. 543, 545).

Fletcher-Louis comments on parallels between Philo, 4Q377 from Qumran, and the Pentateuch:

Like Philo, 4Q377 is working with Deuteronomy 5:5, the giving of the Torah, and perhaps Exodus 17:6. Both texts think standing is a posture indicative of a transcendent identity in which the righteous can participate and of which Moses is the pre-eminent example. With the stability of standing is contrasted the corruptibility of motion, turmoil and storms, which is perhaps reflected in the tension between Israel’s “standing” (lines 4 and 10) and her “trembling” (line 9) before the Glory of God in the Qumran text. Whether this and other similar passages in Philo (cf. esp. *Sacr.* 8-10; *Post.* 27-29) are genetically related to 4Q377 is not certain, but remains a possibility. (C. H. T. Fletcher-Louis, *Reflections*, p. 304)

73 Psalm 82:6-7.

74 Zornberg’s translation. Freedman’s translation is: “You have followed the course of Adam who did not withstand his trials for more than three hours, and at nine hours death was decreed upon him (H. Freedman *et al.*, *Midrash*, Genesis, 18:6, p. 146). [Nine hours would be about three in the afternoon, the day being counted from 6 am to 6 pm]” (*ibid.*, Exodus (*Mishpatim*), 32:1, p. 404).

75 W. Shakespeare, *Hamlet*, 3:3:36, p. 1166.

76 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 150.

77 Luke 2:52, emphasis mine.

---

78 Ephesians 4:13.

79 Matthew 6:27. The Book of Mormon follows the KJV in reading the key term as “stature” (3 Nephi 13:27), however some Bible translations take the contextually-sensitive Greek term *helikia* in terms of adding to the length of one’s life rather than to one’s height.

80 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 202.

81 Cf. Ephesians 4:16.

82 On the role of revelation in providing the specifications for temple building, see J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, pp. 561-563.

83 Exodus chapters 25-31.

84 Ezekiel chapters 40-48.

85 Revelation 11:1-2. See also Zechariah chapter 2.

86 Image from J. V. Canby, Ur-Nammu, Plate 33.

87 J. M. Bradshaw *et al.*, Investiture Panel.

88 Thorkild Jacobsen, cited in K. E. Slanski, *Rod and Ring*, p. 45.

89 Ezekiel 40:3. See D. I. Block, *Ezekiel 25-48*, pp. 512, 515. Thanks to Matthew B. Brown for this reference.

90 H. W. Nibley, *Circle*. See also, e.g., J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, *passim*.

91 K. E. Slanski, *Rod and Ring*, p. 51. Black agrees with Slanski’s interpretation, stating that the “rod and ring” are “thought to depict a pair of measuring instruments, a rule and a tape, taken as symbolic of divine justice” (J. A. Black *et al.*, *Gods*, p. 156).

92 Matthew 6:27.

93 J. W. Welch, *Light*, p. 160.

94 Ephesians 4:13.

95 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 234.

96 H. N. Ridderbos, *John*, p. 576.

97 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 215.

98 As Beale and Carson explain:

Jesus’ self-identification in 18:5, “I am,” probably has connotations of deity... This is strongly suggested by the soldiers’ falling to the ground in 18:6, a common reaction to divine revelation (see Ezekiel 1:28, 44:4; Daniel 2:46, 8:18, 10:9; Acts 9:4, 22:7, 26:14; Revelation 1:17, 19:10, 22:8). This falling of the soldiers is reminiscent of certain passages in Psalms (see Psalms 27:2, 35:4; cf. 56:9; see also Elijah’s experience in 2 Kings 1:9-14). Jewish literature recounts the similar story of the attempted arrest of Simeon (Genesis Rabbah 91:6).

---

The reaction also highlights Jesus' messianic authority in keeping with texts such as Isaiah 11:4 (cf. 2 Esdras 13:3-4). (G. K. Beale *et al.*, NT Use of the OT, John 18-19, p. 499)

99 R. E. Brown, *Death*, 1:261. The entire passage from Raymond Brown is instructive:

OT antecedents for this reaction have been proposed, e.g., Psalm 56:10(9): "My enemies will be turned back... in the day when I shall call upon you"; Psalm 27:2: "When evildoers come at me... my foes and my enemies themselves stumble and fall..."; Psalm 35:4: "Let those be turned back... and confounded who plot evil against me." Falling down (*piptein*) as a reaction to divine revelation is attested in Daniel 2:46, 8:18; Revelation 1:17; and that is how John would have the reader understand the reaction to Jesus' pronouncement. *Piptein chamai* is combined with the verb "to worship" in Job 1:20. No matter what one thinks of the historicity of this scene, it should not be explained away or trivialized. To know or use the divine name, as Jesus does, is an exercise of awesome power. In Acts 3:6 Peter heals a lame man "in the name of Jesus of Nazareth," i.e., by the power of the name that Jesus has been given by God; and "there is no other name under heaven among human beings by which we must be saved." Eusebius (*Praeparatio Evangelica* 9:27:24-26 in J. H. Charlesworth, *Pseudepigrapha*, 2:901; GCS 43.522) attributes to Artapanus, who lived before the 1st century BC, the legend that when Moses uttered before Pharaoh the secret name of God, Pharaoh fell speechless to the ground (R. D. Bury, *ExpTim* 24 (1912-13), 233). That legend may or may not have been known when John wrote, but it illustrates an outlook that makes John's account of the arrest intelligible.

This same Jesus will say to Pilate, "You have no power over me at all except what was given to you from above" (John 19:11). Here he shows how powerless before him are the troops of the Roman cohort and the police attendants from the chief priests—the representatives of the two groups who will soon interrogate him and send him to the cross. Indeed, an even wider extension of Jesus' power may be intended. Why does John suddenly, in the midst of this dramatic interchange, mention the otiose presence of Judas, "now standing there with them was also Judas, the one who was giving him over" (John 18:5)? John 17:12 calls Judas "the son of perdition," a phrase used in 2 Thessalonians 2:3-4 to describe the antichrist who exalts himself to the level of God. Is the idea that the representative of the power of evil must also fall powerless before Jesus? I have already pointed out a close Johannine parallel to the Mark/Matthew saying about the coming near of the one who gives Jesus over, namely, John 14:30: "For the Prince of this world is coming." In John 12:31, in the context of proclaiming the coming of the hour (John 12:23) and of praying about that hour (John 12:27), Jesus exclaims, "Now will the Prince of this world be driven out" (or "cast down," a textual variant; see also 16:11). (R. E. Brown, *Death*, 1:261-262)

Keener offers additional precedents for the "involuntary prostration" of Jesus' enemies:

Other ancient texts report falling backward in terror—for instance, fearing that one has dishonored God (*Sipra Sh. M.D.* 99:5:12; cf. perhaps 1 Samuel 4:18). (C. S. Keener, *John*, p. 1082)

Talbert, *John*, 233, adds later traditions in which priests fell on their faces when hearing the divine name (*b. Qidd.* 71a; *Eccl. Rab.* 3:11, S3). (*ibid.*, p. 1082 n. 124)

100 Matthew Brown points out further parallels to Mount Sinai and the temple during the culminating scenes of the Atonement on the Mount of Olives (M. B. Brown, *Gate*, p. 176):



---

Shortly before his crucifixion, the Savior took the twelve apostles, and perhaps others, with Him to the Garden of Gethsemane, which is located on the western slope of the Mount of Olives. When they had entered into the garden area, the Lord instructed the majority of His disciples to wait for Him while He took Peter, James, and John further into the Garden. Then, at some unspecified location, Christ told Peter, James, and John to stay where they were while He “went a little further” into Gethsemane by Himself (see Matthew 26:30-39; Mark 14:26-36). It was in this third area of the Garden that the Savior was visited and strengthened by an angel and where He shed His sacrificial blood (see JST Luke 22:43-44). This pattern is intriguing because it seems to match the tripartite division of the people during the Mount Sinai episode (Ground Level—Israelites, Half-Way—Seventy Elders, Top—Moses) and the tripartite division in the temple complex (Courtyard—Israelites, Holy Place—Priests, Holy of Holies—High Priest). It was, of course, in the Holy of Holies on the Day of Atonement that the final rite was performed to purge the sins of the Israelites with sacrificial blood (see Leviticus 16:15).

101 In viewing this detachment as composed of the temple guards, rather than a Roman cohort, I am accepting the conclusions of Ridderbos: “As in the Septuagint and Josephus, this guard is, like its captain (the ‘chiliarch’ in v. 12), given Roman military names. John calls these temple police ‘*the [speira],*’ that is, the only qualified armed group, under the circumstances, at the Sanhedrin’s disposal, along with the Sanhedrin’s own court officers” (H. N. Ridderbos, *John*, p. 575). For a more extensive discussion that reaches the same conclusion, see C. S. Keener, *John*, pp. 1078-1080.

102 S. K. Brown, *Arrest*, p. 201. See, e.g., D&C 88:49.

103 W. J. Hamblin, *John 17:6, Name*, pp. 4-5.

104 “And the priests and people standing in the courtyard [on the Day of Atonement], when they would hear the Expressed Name [of the Lord] come out of the mouth of the high priest, would kneel and bow down and fall upon on their faces” (J. Neusner, *Mishnah, Yoma 6:2d*, p. 275; cf. *Ibid.*, *Yoma 3:8*, p. 269, *4:2*, pp. 270-271).

105 See Psalm 110:4, Hebrews chapter 7.

106 W. B. Yeats, *Second Coming*, pp. 158-159.

107 D. A. Bednar, *Stand*. See also citations such as the following: “For I will reveal myself from heaven with power and great glory... and the wicked shall not *stand*” (D&C 29:11); Behold, the great day of the Lord is at hand; and who can abide the day of his coming, and who can *stand* when he appeareth?” (D&C 128:24; cf. Malachi 3:2, 3 Nephi 24:2).

108 D&C 45:31-32, 70, 74.

109 Cf. Genesis 27:33, Alma 11:46.

110 D&C 88:89; cf. Alma 36:7. See also J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image*, pp. 57-58 n. 1:21a, p. 388 n. 5:41b.

111 D&C 87:6.

112 D&C 87:8.

---

113 D&C 101:17, 20-23.

114 Isaiah 33:20, 54:2.

115 D&C 57:3. For a broader discussion of this topic, see S. L. Olsen, *Mormon Ideology*, pp. 19-41.

116 D&C 101:21.

117 See D&C 133:9.

118 D&C 124:36; cf. D&C 45:66, 115:6. Explicitly mentioning these two places of safety in a close parallel to the text of Matthew 24:15, D&C 133:12-13 reads:

Let them, therefore, who are among the Gentiles flee unto Zion.  
And let them who be of Judah flee unto Jerusalem, unto the mountains of the Lord's house.

119 D&C 84:2, emphasis added; cf. Revelation 14:1.

120 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 204.

121 Joseph Smith-Matthew 1:32. Commenting on this verse, Ogden and Skinner comment: "That is, as in the first century after Christ (v. 12), so in the last century before his second coming: Jerusalem will be besieged and suffer much destruction" (D. K. Ogden *et al.*, *Gospels*, p. 518). Without the benefit of the light shed by Joseph Smith—Matthew, non-LDS scholars have sometimes concurred with the idea that the event is fulfilled twice: once shortly after Jesus' death and again in the last days (e.g., L. T. Dennis *et al.*, *ESV*, Matthew 24:15n., p. 1873; J. B. Payne, *Imminent Appearing*, p. 152). C. S. Keener, *Gospel of Matthew*, p. 577-578, while seeing "the whole interim between the Temple's demise [in 70 AD] and [Christ's] return as an extended tribulation period," also realizes that the tribulation of 66-70 AD is blended, in Matthew 24, "with the final one, which it prefigures":

Early Jewish texts also telescope the generations of history with the final generation (O. S. Wintermute, *Jubilees*, 23:11-32, pp. 100-102). As in Mark, the tribulation of 66-70 remains somehow connected with the future parousia, if only as a final prerequisite. Further, the context may suggest that Jesus employs his description eschatologically, as in some Jewish end-time texts; in this case, the disasters of 66-73 could not have exhausted the point of his words.

122 Revelation 11:1; cf. Ezekiel 40-42, Zechariah 1:16. Jay and Donald Parry, citing Kenneth Strand, note that these three elements of the temple—temple, altar, and worshippers—are the same three entities that are to be purified on the Day of Atonement, as recorded in Leviticus 16 (J. A. Parry *et al.*, *Book of Revelation*, p. 135. See vv. 6, 11, 16-18).

123 "In the Old Testament generally, 'measuring' was metaphorical for a decree of protection" (G. K. Beale, *Temple*, p. 314). See 2 Samuel 8:2; Isaiah 28:16-17; Jeremiah 31:38-40; Zechariah 1:16. For 'measuring' as judgment, see 2 Samuel 8:2; 2 Kings 21:13; Lamentations 2:8; Amos 7:7-9.

124 See 1 Corinthians 3:16-17; 2 Corinthians 6:16; Ephesians 2:19-22; 1 Peter 2:5. This is also, for example, the view of Metzger (B. M. Metzger, *Breaking*, pp. 68-69).

---

125 See D&C 88:28-31.

126 Psalm 24:3-4.

127 Revelation 11:2.

128 D&C 101:24.

129 D&C 123:17, emphasis added. Compare Exodus 14:13.

130 E. T. Benson, Teachings 1988, p. 106.

131 R. M. Zlotowitz *et al.*, Bereishis, 18:32, 1:673. Note that a *minyan*, the Jewish prayer circle, requires a minimum of ten men. Tvedtnes also notes: “The angels of the presence ‘stand’ in God’s presence (e.g., Luke 1:19 and numerous pseudepigrapha). In Judaism, the *amidah* (standing prayer) brings one into God’s presence. In the *Conflict of Adam and Eve with Satan*, the first couple stand inside the cave of treasures to pray. After being cast out of the garden, this was their only way of approaching the presence of God” (J. A. Tvedtnes, March 8 2010; see J. A. Tvedtnes, Temple Prayer, p. 80).

132 B. Gittin 43a, as cited in A. G. Zornberg, Genesis, p. 385 n. 83.

133 Ibid., p. 33.

134 Rashi, Exodus Commentary, p. 241.

135 A. Kulik, Retroverting Apocalypse of Abraham 10:1-4, p. 17. The translation of the caption to this image reads: “I heard a voice saying, Here Oilu, sanctify this man and strengthen (him) from his trembling and the angel took me by the right hand and stood me on my feet and said to me, stand up, o friend of God who has loved you.” Kulik’s translation of the corresponding text in the *Apocalypse* reads: “And when I was still face down on the earth, I heard the voice of the Holy One, saying, ‘Go, Yahoel, the namesake of the mediation of my ineffable name, sanctify this man and strengthen him from his trembling!’ And the angel whom he sent to me in the likeness of a man came, and he took me by my right hand and stood me on my feet. And he said to me, ‘Stand up, <Abraham,> the friend of God who has loved you, let human trembling not enfold you. For behold I am sent to you to strengthen you and to bless you in the name of God.’” (ibid., 10:3-6, pp. 17-18). Compare Daniel 8:17-18; 10:9-11. For parallels between this ancient text and the book of Moses, see J. M. Bradshaw, *God’s Image*, pp. 694-696.

136 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 342.

137 A. G. Zornberg, Genesis, p. 32.

138 G. K. Chesterton, Orthodoxy, pp. 102-103.

139 <http://www.edmundcamacho.com/2009/07/shivah-asar-btammuz.html>.

140 Hebrew (transliterated): *šiqqūš šōmēm*; Greek: βδέλυγμα τῆς ἐρήμωσις.

141 Daniel 9:27, 11:31, 12:11.

142 R. T. France, Gospel of Matthew, p. 911 takes the primary reference of the prophecy of Daniel to be “the events of 167 BC, when Antiochus Epiphanes conquered Jerusalem and

---

prohibited Jewish sacrificial worship, setting up an altar for pagan sacrifices (including the slaughter of pigs) on top of the altar of burnt offering (F. Josephus, *The Antiquities* (New), 12:5:253, p. 404); it stood in the temple for three years until Judas Maccabeus regained control of Jerusalem, purified the temple, and restored its true worship.”

143 J. N. Sparks *et al.*, *Orthodox Study Bible*, Matthew 24:15n., p. 1315. Beale elaborates:

The “desolating sacrilege” in 24:15 clearly alludes to the horror prophesied in Daniel 9:27 and repeated in 11:31; and 12:11, with Jesus explicitly mentioning the prophet’s name. In the OT it occurs first in the context of Daniel’s famous but notoriously difficult prophecy about seventy “weeks of years” (i.e., 490 years [9:24-27]). Seven times seven times ten almost certainly represents a symbolic number for a perfect period of time, and the abomination of desolation is related to something “set up on a wing,” presumably of the temple, since Jerusalem and its sanctuary are said to be destroyed (Daniel 9:26...). First Maccabees 1:54 understood this prophecy to have been fulfilled in the desecration of the temple sanctuary by Antiochus Epiphanes, the Seleucid ruler who sacrificed swine on the Jewish altar and ransacked the capital city, leading to the Maccabean revolt of 167-164 BC [see also 2 Macc. 8:17]. Jesus is envisioning a similarly horrifying event accompanying the destruction of the temple in the first century... The disciples comment on the temple that they can see from the Mount of Olives. Jesus then predicts *its* destruction. Luke explicitly takes it this way. Nothing in the context supports the notion that a temple rebuilt centuries later, only to be destroyed again, is in view... Foretelling the destruction of the temple, of course, places Jesus in a long line of prophets (cf. Micah 3:2; Jeremiah 7:8-15; 9:10-11; 26:6, 18...). (G. K. Beale *et al.*, *NT Use of the OT*, Matthew 24:1-31, p. 86)

144 Matthew 24:15.

145 R. T. France, *Gospel of Matthew*, p. 913; cf. P. G. Bolt, *Cross*, pp. 100-101 n. 36.

146 F. Josephus, *Wars* (New), 4:3:6-8 (150-157), pp. 812-813, 4:3:12 (196-207), p. 815.

147 *Ibid.*, 6:6:1 (316), p. 900.

148 Luke 21:20: “Jerusalem surrounded by armies.”

149 From J. F. Dolkart, *James Tissot*, p. 248.

150 See Matthew 26:61; Mark 14:58; John 2:19.

151 P. G. Bolt, *Cross*, p. 101.

152 Matthew 12:6.

153 C. S. Keener, *Gospel of Matthew*, p. 575, referring to Daniel 9:26. Writes Payne (J. B. Payne, *Imminent Appearing*, pp. 147-148):

The subject of [Daniel 9:25-26] is stated to be the Messiah...; and the purpose of the action described is six-fold: “to restrain transgression, and to seal up sins, and to make reconciliation for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righteousness, and to seal up vision and prophecy, and to anoint the most holy” (v. 24). The applicability of the first four to Christ is clear (Hebrews 9:26). The “sealing of vision,” then, seems to refer to the termination of that anticipatory mode of prophetic revelation which reached its climax in John the Baptist (Matthew 11:13),

---

and not, as sometimes asserted, to the fulfilling of all prophecies. Finally, the anointing of the “most holy,” in the light of the messianic prophecy that follows, can refer to none other than Christ’s anointing by the Holy Spirit (John 3:34). He then accomplishes this mission by causing a covenant (the newer testament; Jeremiah 31:31-34, Hebrews 8:6-9, 22) to prevail with many (Daniel 9:27). That is, He makes the testament efficacious with His elect (cf. Isaiah 53:11). Such testamentary action brings to an end the anticipatory sacrificial system of the older testament (Daniel 9:27), a termination that was demonstrated historically when the veil of the temple was symbolically rent in twain at Christ’s crucifixion (Matthew 27:51; cf. Hebrews 9:8). But it meant too that the ultimate death would have to take place: Messiah Himself would be cut off (Daniel 9:26). “For a testament is of force where there hath been death: for it doth never avail while he that made it liveth” (Hebrews 9:17).

On the timing for the fulfillment of the prophecy, Payne writes (*ibid.*, pp. 148-149):

The most noteworthy feature of Daniel’s prophecy is the inspired prophetic calendar that accompanies it. Daniel predicted a lapse of “seventy weeks [of years],” or 490 years, for the accomplishing of the redemptive work (Daniel 9:24). The beginning point would be indicated by the commandment to restore Jerusalem (v. 25), an event that was accomplished, a century after Daniel, in the reign of the Persian, Artaxerxes I (465-424 BC), under Nehemiah (444 BC). But there had been an earlier attempt, in the same reign, to restore the city’s walls, which had been thwarted by the Samaritans (Ezra 4:11-12, 23). This attempt seems to have been made under Ezra (458 BC; cf. 9:9), on the basis of the extended powers granted him in Artaxerxes’ decree (7:18, 25, even though nothing explicit is said about his restoring Jerusalem). Daniel then went on to predict that from this commandment, to the Messiah, would be “seven weeks, and three score and two weeks” (9:25), or 69 weeks of years, equalling 483 years. From 458 BC this brings one to AD 26, the very time which many would accept for the descent of the Holy Spirit upon Jesus Christ and the commencement of His incarnate ministry. Verses 26 and 27 then describe how, in the midst of the final week (that is, of the last seven year period, and therefore in the spring of AD 30), He would bring to an end the Old Testament economy by His death. There could hardly have been a more miraculously accurate prediction than was this! The 490 years then conclude with the three and a half years that remained, during which period the testament was to be confirmed to Israel (cf. Acts 2:38).

154 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 249.

155 From *ibid.*, p. 217.

156 Matthew 24:16.

157 J. E. Talmage, *Jesus the Christ*, p. 545 n. 1; cf. Eusebius, *History*, 3:5, p. 68. Josephus, on the other hand, reports that false prophets had told Jerusalemites that they would be delivered if they stood firm (F. Josephus, *Wars (New)*, 6:5:2 (285-286), p. 898).

158 C. S. Keener, *Gospel of Matthew*, p. 579.

159 However, Keener observes, “Palestine’s central mountain range provided a natural place to flee (e.g., 1 Samuel 23:14; Ezekiel 7:15-16; F. Josephus, *Wars (New)*, 2:18:9 (504), p. 764; cf. Pseudo-Philo, *Biblical Antiquities*, 6:11, 18, pp. 92-93, 94, 27:11, p. 161), as mountainous areas with caves often did (Diod. Sic. 34/35.2.22; Dion. Hal. 7:10:3; Appian C. W. 4:17:130; Arrian

---

Ales. 4:24:2). Although the exhortation is too general to be sure, the language might even allude to the familiar 1 Maccabees 2:28” (C. S. Keener, *Gospel of Matthew*, p. 579).

160 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 226.

161 P. G. Bolt, Cross, pp. 101-102.

162 Mark 14:50.

163 P. G. Bolt, Cross, pp. 102-103.

164 Daniel 12:2.

165 Daniel 12:1.

166 Mark 13:19 NRSV. Here, as in Matthew, the context implies that this suffering will be experienced by those in Jerusalem. To apply this prophecy to the sufferings of Christ during His Atonement would require the conjecture that the evangelists—or later editors—had misunderstood the overall meaning of the statement of Jesus in this verse, as they apparently misunderstood His earlier statement about “stand[ing] in the holy place.”

167 Joseph Smith—Matthew 1:32.

168 From J. F. Dolkart, James Tissot, p. 162.

169 C. S. Keener, *Gospel of Matthew*, p. 576.

170 1 Maccabees 1:37; F. Josephus, *The Antiquities (New)*, 9:7:3 (152), p. 321; cf. 2 Chronicles 23:14; cf. perhaps 1 Chronicles 22:8; 28:3.

171 1 Maccabees 1:39, 2:12.

172 F. Josephus, *Wars (New)*, 4:3:6-12 (147-201), pp. 812-815, 4:5:4 (343), p. 823, 5:1:3 (17-18), p. 844, cf. 2:17:5 (424), p. 759.

173 *Ibid.*, 5:1:3 (17-19), p. 844.

174 Matthew 23:35.

175 JST Genesis 17:7. For more on this topic, see J. M. Bradshaw, *God's Image, Excursus 37*, pp. 617-621.

176 According to D&C 77:15, these witnesses “are two prophets that are to be raised up to the Jewish nation in the last days, at the time of the restoration, and to prophesy to the Jews after they are gathered and have built the city of Jerusalem in the land of their fathers.”

177 G. K. Beale, *Book of Revelation*, p. 567, emphasis added. With respect to the prior identification of Christ as a true and faithful witness, see Revelation 1:5, 3:14.

178 John 15:20.

179 Revelation 1:7.

180 Cf. John 16:20.